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# *Appendix No.4:*



**RECTORAL THESIS – EVALUATION FORM**

**I.**

**(to be completed by the Student)**

**Name of the Student**:

Faculty, year:

Address:

Email address:

**Title of the Rectoral Thesis**:

Name of the Academic/Research Department Receiving the Submission:

Name of the Advisor of the Rectoral Thesis:

Duration **of Student Research Activity Related to the Rectoral Application**:

Month Year

Awards Received for Student Research Activity Related to the Rectoral Application:

**List of Posters, Presentations, and Publications Related to the Rectoral Application** (according to this categorization)

I hereby declare that the scientific results presented in this rectoral thesis primarily originate from my own independent work:

 --------------------------------------------

Signature of the Author

**II.**

**(to be completed by the Evaluator)**

**Date of Submission of the Rectoral Thesis:**

Name, Position, and Affiliation **of the Evaluator of the Rectoral Thesis**:

**Detailed Evaluation, Comments, and Questions of the Evaluator:**The evaluator’s written opinion, signed by the evaluator, should be provided in a text of minimum half a page and maximum two pages. The evaluator’s comments and questions should be attached separately.

**Student’s Responses:** The answers provided by the author of the rectoral application/thesis to the evaluator’s questions and comments, signed by the author, should be attached to the evaluation form.

**Student’s Responses: Accept ed Not Accepted**

(selected answer should be circled)

**Evaluation of the Thesis**

* Choice of topic and approach to the assigned research task – engaging, interesting, original, relevant (max 10 points)

 point:

* Scientific merit of the thesis: How well is the topic developed, how precise is the elaboration, how much effort is invested, and does the thesis contain original ideas? (maximum 25 points)

 point:

* Adequacy of sources and methods: Are the reviewed sources appropriate, sufficient, and thoroughly examined? Has the Student misunderstood any of them? and/or: Are the experimental methods used adequate and proper, are the data sufficient, is the applied statistics appropriate? Do the data support the conclusions? (maximum 25 points)

point:

* Evaluation and discussion of results: How balanced and acceptable is the assessment and discussion of the results? To what extent does the author compare the observed findings with the existing results in the field? (maximum 15 points)

 point:

* Formal Aspects of the Thesis: structure, organization, scientific quality of figures, quality of illustrations, correctness of the scientific language, spelling, and overall presentation (maximum 25 points)

 point:

**Total points:**

Works containing professional errors, lacking sufficient scientific foundation, or being superficial may not receive more than 20 points.

Only those theses may receive more than 80 points that contain significant professional novelty by international scientific standards, and which could be published in an international journal of the field, with translation into a foreign language and minor revisions.

Budapest,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signiture of the Head of the Academic/Research Department

The evaluation form must be completed in two copies, and the textual sections (questions, opinions, etc.) must also be attached in two copies.