

Action plan based on student feedback in the 2nd semester of the 2025/26 academic year

Department: Oro-Maxillofacial Surgery and Stomatology

Faculty: Faculty of Dentistry

Course: Implantology I (FOKOSZB311_1A)

1. Student evaluation of teaching performance (lectures)

Evaluation based on 50 completed student questionnaires.

Proportion of completed questionnaires as reported in the source: **66.67 %**. Students enrolled: 75.

QUESTION		FACULTY AVERAGE	COURSE AVERAGE
K01	To what extent did the institute's teaching activities stimulate your interest in the subject?	8.94	9.10
K02	How well organised was the teaching of the subject?	8.95	9.08
K03	How useful, dynamic and logical were the lectures?	8.93	9.20
K04	How would you rate the course's Moodle page?	8.90	9.04
K05	Overall, how would you rate the teaching of the subject?	8.93	9.02

Rating scale: 1-10. Total score relative to the faculty average, as reported in the source: **0.07**.

2. Student evaluation of teaching performance (practical sessions)

Evaluation based on 96 completed student questionnaires.

Proportion of completed questionnaires as reported in the source: **128 %**. Students enrolled: 75.

QUESTION		FACULTY AVERAGE	COURSE AVERAGE
K01	How logical and easy to understand were the instructor's explanations?	9.08	9.54
K02	How organised and well structured were the practical sessions/classes?	9.08	9.51
K03	How helpful was the practical instructor?	9.08	9.49
K04	How well were you able to learn the given topic during the practical session?	9.07	9.47
K05	Overall, how would you rate the practical instructor's teaching performance?	9.09	9.50

Rating scale: 1-10. Total score relative to the faculty average, as reported in the source: **1.12**.

3. Our response to student comments

Students said (course evaluation): "To be honest, dental implants company representations took a lot of practice time from students, so I don't think it's a good idea to make them come to our practices"

"Please give us more practical work"

Our response: Thank you for your feedback. We will review the time devoted to company demonstrations and try to ensure more opportunities for practical work.

Students said (course evaluation): "please update the pdfs and recordings so they are the same as this years lectures."

Our response: Thank you for your feedback. We will review the uploaded materials and ask the lecturers to make the current versions available in Moodle.

Students said (course evaluation): "Very good"

Students said (practical evaluation): "(Teacher: Dr. Major Ábel): I learned a lot about the subject from Dr Amir, He always explains the learning material in a very clear and detailed way Always helping students Very good professor"

"(Teacher: Dr. Major Ábel): Thanks for delivering amazing practices. Your explanations were concise, logical and to the point. Much appreciation."

"(Teacher: Dr. Körmöczy Kinga Renáta): instructor was clear, supportive, and I learned a lot."

"(Teacher: Dr. Ashourioun Amir Hossein): Taught us with patience made all of us be interested in implantology"

"(Teacher: Dr. Koppány Ferenc): Very experienced instructor with great practical advice"

Our response: Thank you very much for your feedback!

Budapest, 6 September 2026

The action plan was compiled by:

Ferenc Koppány