Action plan based on the student feedback received in the 2nd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year

Department: Oro-Maxillofacial Surgery and Stomatology Faculty: Faculty of Dentistry

Course: Oral Surgery V (FOKOSZB353 5A)

1., Student evaluation of teaching performance (lectures)

Evaluation of the subject based on 7 submitted student questionnaires:

QUESTION		FACULTY AVERAGE	DEPARTMENT AVERAGE
K01	To what extent did the institute's teaching activities inspire your interest in the subject?	4.43	4.86
K02	How organized was the teaching of the subject?	4.40	5.00
K03	What proportion of the institute/subject's lectures did you attend?	4.50	5.00
K04	How didactic and logical were the lectures?	4.41	4.71
K05	To what extent did the lectures contribute to learning the subject material?	4.42	4.86
K06	How organized and well-structured were the practical sessions?	4.40	4.86
K07	To what extent did the practical sessions contribute to successfully acquiring the subject material?	4.42	4.86
K08	To what extent did the official textbook/notes contribute to studying the subject material?	4.38	4.86
K09	To what extent did the institute's developed web resources contribute to learning the subject material?	4.40	5.00
K10	To what extent did the institute maintain educational discipline? (Punctual start of lectures and practical sessions, attendance, adherence to educational schedule, etc.)	4.47	5.00
K11	To what extent did the institute define the subject's exam requirements?	4.45	4.86
K12	To what extent did the institute's teaching staff assist in mastering the subject?	4.43	5.00
K13	Overall, how would you rate the teaching of the subject?	4.41	4.86

Our feedback on general comments by the students

There was no written feedback.

2., Student evaluation of teaching performance (practices)

Evaluation of the subject based on 8 submitted student questionnaires:

QUESTION		FACULTY AVERAGE	DEPARTMENT AVERAGE
K01	How logical and easy to understand was the teacher's explanation?	4.44	4.62
K02	To what extent did the teacher encourage problem-solving and critical thinking?	4.40	4.62
K03	How practical and interactive was the session?	4.41	4.62
K04	How organized and well-structured were the practical sessions?	4.40	4.62
K05	How helpful was the teacher during the practical sessions?	4.42	4.62
K06	How well were you able to study the given topic during the practical session?	4.38	4.62
K07	How consistent was the practical session with the knowledge gained from the lectures?	4.40	4.62
K08	Evaluate your own activity during the practical session.	4.46	4.62
K09	How useful did you find the time spent?	4.39	4.62
K10	Overall, how would you rate the teacher's performance during the practical sessions?	4.41	4.62

Our feedback on specific comments on mandatory subjects

There was no written feedback.

Budapest, 29th Sept, 2024

The action plan was compiled by: Dr. Ferenc Koppány