



Regulations on Student Awards

Effective from: 10 September 2022

Contents

1	PROCEDURAL RULES GOVERNING THE RECTOR’S AWARDS.....	3
1.1	Publication of the Call for Rector’s Awards Applications	3
1.2	Collection and Submission of Application Documents.....	3
1.3	Rules governing the judgement process	4
2	PROCEDURAL RULES GOVERNING THE <i>GOOD STUDENT – GOOD ATHLETE</i> AWARDS..	6
2.1	General rules for the call for applications for <i>Good Student – Good Athlete</i> awards.....	6
2.2.	Criteria for submitting an application for the <i>Good Student – Good Athlete</i> awards.....	6
2.3.	Procedures for the <i>Good Student – Good Athlete</i> awards.....	7
2.4.	Remunerations of <i>Good Student – Good Athlete</i> award recipients	7
2.5.	Payment of <i>Good Student – Good Athlete</i> cash prizes	8
3	DR. ISTVÁN SZIVÓS SPORTS AWARD FOR MEDICAL STUDENTS	8
4	Annexes:	10

PREAMBLE

In accordance with Article 7 (4), Chapter 1, Part I. of the Operational and Organizational Regulations of Semmelweis University, the University shall provide for nurturing of talent and training a new generation of researchers, develop students' skills in research and development, in applying their knowledge in practice and in entrepreneurship, and promote learning about the protection and exploitation of intellectual property.

Furthermore, the University shall strongly support those who, in addition to a high level of academic achievement, have demonstrated excellent sporting achievements in national and international competitions or have an outstanding record in the organization of international and national competitions. The University shall promote these students as role models among their fellow students.

Therefore, every year competitive awards are granted to talented students: the *Rector's Awards* and the *Good Student – Good Athlete Awards*, the details of which are set out in the following regulations.

1 PROCEDURAL RULES GOVERNING THE RECTOR'S AWARDS

1.1 Publication of the Call for Rector's Awards Applications

- 1) Each academic year, the Rector's Awards competition shall be announced in order to promote the independent research of students of outstanding ability. Those students shall be eligible to enter the competition who are
 - a) undergraduate or postgraduate students enrolled at the University at the time of their application for the Rector's Awards, and
 - b) members of Semmelweis University Students' Scientific Association and have a valid registration thereof.
- 2) By 1 June each year, proposals for the titles of the Rector's Awards research papers shall be submitted by the University's teaching or research departments (institutes, departments or clinical units) involved in undergraduate and postgraduate education, by University teaching hospitals, and the educational, scientific or medical institutions where the members of the Students' Scientific Association are involved in scientific work (hereinafter referred to as educational or research units). In addition to the titles, the name of the consultant who can assist the students, their academic degree, and the name of the educational or research unit shall also be indicated with each title.
- 3) The proposed titles for the Rector's Awards papers shall be sent by the educational or research units to the Students' Scientific Association Council, which shall publish them on the University website by 15 June each year.

1.2 Collection and Submission of Application Documents

- 1) Papers for the Rector's Awards competition shall be submitted to the head of the educational or research unit which issued the title, in Hungarian, English or German, in one hard copy version, bound or stapled, and additionally on an electronic medium by 15 October each year. The following documents shall be attached to the electronic copy of the research paper:

- a) Annex 1: the properly completed Application Form, which is published on the University's Register of Forms website (Formanyomtatványtár)
 - b) Annex 2: a declaration to claim that the documents on the electronic medium are identical with those in the hard copy; the Declaration Form is published at the University's Register of Forms website (Formanyomtatványtár)
 - c) Annex 3: a declaration to announce which specific parts of the research constitute independent research work by the Applicant; the Declaration Form is published at the University's Register of Forms website (Formanyomtatványtár)
 - d) Annex 4: two copies of the Assessment Form which is published on the University's Register of Forms website (Formanyomtatványtár)
- 2) Upon submission, the Applicant shall be provided a certificate which testifies that the required documents and annexes listed in Paragraph 1 are included in the Application.
 - 3) The Application Form shall include the title of the paper, the Applicant's name, address, email address, Neptun ID code, the name of the faculty, year of studies and the name of the consultant (if any).
 - 4) Papers on a subject which has not been part of the Applicant's studies by the time of application, or those which are not on the University's official list of competition topics may also be accepted. If a research topic is not included in the list of titles announced, students may apply to the head of a relevant teaching or research unit to have the new topic endorsed. If approved, the head of the relevant department shall, at the request of the Applicant, appoint a consultant to assist in the research work. Should a disagreement happen, students may refer the matter to the chair of the Rector's Competition Assessment Committee.
 - 5) Not more than two students may take part in the competition as the authors of the same research paper. In the same academic year, a student may not submit more than two papers in the competition, either as a single author or as a co-author.
 - 6) The research paper has to be no less than 50,000 characters long and not more than 100,000 characters long, not counting spaces. The list of literature, figures, tables and their captions are not to be included in the count. The research paper has to introduce the professional background to the topic and the latest international achievements in the field. The scientific objectives, methods and findings of the research have to be described. A critical comparison of the new results with previous knowledge in the discipline and the conclusions and hypotheses that can be drawn from the results have to be included, as well as the future perspectives and practical relevance of the work, and furthermore, how the outcome could be used in medicine. All references used shall be included, in the usual manner for scientific publications. The formal requirements of the paper shall be governed by the rules for doctoral theses at the University.

1.3 Rules governing the judgement process

- 1) The heads of relevant teaching or research units shall invite reviewers who bear a relevant scientific degree, either a researcher of the University or an independent expert who is not related to the University, to assess the applications. Reviewers shall not
 - a) be a relative of the author of the research paper,
 - b) be the consultant to the author,

- c) be affiliated to the educational or research unit of the consultant to the author,
 - d) be a person who previously collaborated with the consultant in any scientific publication or application.
- 2) The reviewer shall evaluate the research paper and fill in the Assessment Form found in Annex 4, which can also be found in the Register of Forms online. The reviewer shall have the right to ask the Applicant questions and make professional comments on the paper, to which the Applicant shall reply. The reviewer will indicate their reflections on these responses and make a recommendation for the evaluation of the application. Only papers based on the scientific method (of experimental, empirical-exploratory or theoretical-summarizing nature) and involving independent research will be awarded a prize or commendation.
 - 3) Having received a preliminary proposal from the Students' Scientific Association Council, the Rector shall appoint a seven-member panel called the Rector's Competition Assessment Committee (a chairperson and six members, hereinafter referred to as the "Committee") to prepare an evaluation of the entries. The Chairperson of the Committee shall be a professor of the University and one member shall be a student representing the members of the Students' Scientific Association. The term of office of the Committee shall be three years, which may be extended.
 - 4) Two copies of each application (one hard copy and one on electronic media) together with the Assessment Form containing the reviewer recommendation shall be sent by the relevant heads of the educational or research units to the Committee by 15 November each year. After the evaluation process ends, the Committee shall keep one copy of each entry on electronic media and one copy of the Assessment Forms containing the reviewer recommendation in the Central Library of the University for 5 years.
 - 5) A special opinion on the evaluation of any application, including detailed reasons, may be submitted to the Committee by its author or consultant.
 - 6) By 30 November of each year, the Committee recommends to the Rector which of the applications may merit a First Prize, a Second Prize, a Third Prize or a Commendation. In making the recommendation, the opinion of the reviewer appointed by the head of the teaching or research unit shall be significant but not imperative for the Committee. The number of entries proposed for a prize or commendation may not exceed 50% of the total number of applications submitted.
 - 7) The Committee may request an expert opinion about any of the application papers.
 - 8) The Rector shall, having considered the recommendations issued by the Committee, make their decision on the awards. The Students' Scientific Association Council shall be delegated by the Rector to publish the winning titles and the names of the authors and consultants on the University website.
 - 9) Applications to win the First Prize, the Second Prize or the Third Prize shall be awarded a financial prize. The amount of the prizes shall be determined by the Rector and shall be announced together with the list of competition topics. The fact of the award shall be entered into the course record book and diploma attachment of the prize winners and commended authors by the Registrar's Office or Dean's Office of the relevant faculties.
 - 10) Award-winning papers in the Rector's Awards Competition may be accepted by the Dean as a thesis evaluated with an "excellent" grade, following the recommendation of the head of the educational or research unit, if the Applicant requests so in an application previously submitted to the Registrar's Office.

- 11) The papers of finalist Applicants in the Rector's Award Competition in long-cycle programs may be accepted by the Dean as a thesis evaluated with an "excellent" grade, following the recommendation of the head of the educational or research unit, if the Applicant requests so in an application previously submitted to the Registrar's Office.
- 12) A scientific paper published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal within the framework of studies where the Applicant is a first author may be accepted as a thesis by the Dean, in which case the evaluation shall be carried out according to the general rules.
- 13) Rector's Awards Competition entries which are accepted as a thesis shall undergo a defense procedure, as provided for in Article 45 (21), Chapter III.2, Study and Examination Regulations (TVSZ) of the Operational and Organizational Regulations of the University.
- 14) The rules of Article 45 (6) to (8) of the TVSZ do not apply to award-winning papers in the Rector's Awards Competition rewarded under paragraphs (10) to (11).

2 PROCEDURAL RULES GOVERNING THE *GOOD STUDENT – GOOD ATHLETE* AWARDS

2.1 General rules for the call for applications for *Good Student – Good Athlete* awards

- (1) The award is open to competition.
- (2) The call for applications shall be published on the website of Semmelweis University by 30 September each year by the Physical Education Sports Center of Semmelweis University (hereinafter referred to as SE TSK).
- (3) By 30 September of each academic year, SE TSK shall send the call for application and the application form electronically to the dean's offices of each faculty.
- (4) The application form – Annex 6, which is available at the Register of Forms – and a short curriculum vitae on the applicant's studies and sports activities may be submitted by 15 November of the current academic year, either in person at the SE TSK or via mail to the SE TSK address.

2.2. Submission criteria for the *Good Student – Good Athlete* awards

- (1) The award may be granted to full-time undergraduate students enrolled at Semmelweis University who
 - a) in the two semesters preceding the call for applications, had a weighted academic average of 4.00 or higher,
 - b) have not repeated a year due to academic failure,
 - c) are not and have not been subject to disciplinary sanctions,
 - d) have certified completion of one of the athletic requirements listed in Annex 7 in the two academic semesters preceding the application, and
 - e) have certified performance in the academic work listed in Annex 7 in the two academic semesters preceding the call for applications.

- (2) With regard to paragraph 1 (d), any sports achievement may only be taken into account in one application process, and the same result cannot be taken into account in subsequent years for such purposes.

2.3. Procedures for the *Good Student – Good Athlete* awards

- (1) Applications will be evaluated by a committee of 5 members, chaired by the Director of SE TSK.
- (2) The chair of the committee is the Director of SE TSK, its members shall be appointed from among SE TSK physical education teachers whose appointment lasts from the call for applications for the academic year to the announcement of the results.
- (3) The evaluation shall be based on the scoring system set out in Annex 7 as follows:
 - a) The total scores available shall be made up of the weighted academic average and the sports performance scores.
 - b) The maximum number of scores available is limited to 900, which consists of the categories set out in Annex 7.
 - c) The maximum number of scores available shall be composed of the weighted academic average, the highest-scoring academic work and the highest-scoring sports performance.
 - d) In the case of a tie, the student with the higher weighted academic average shall be given priority.
- (4) The committee shall establish a ranking order for the award of the first six places on the basis of the sum of the scores.
- (5) In the event of identical scores, the Vice-Rector for Educational Affairs shall make a recommendation regarding the order of priority.
- (6) The Senate of the University shall decide on the recipients of the award in accordance with the proposal by the Vice-Rector for Educational Affairs.

2.4. Remunerations of *Good Student – Good Athlete* award recipients

- (1) The recipients are awarded a medal or certificate as well as a cash prize.
- (2) The first three winners are awarded a medal and a cash prize.
- (3) The winners of the fourth, fifth and sixth places shall receive a certificate and a cash prize.
- (4) The cash prizes paid from the central budget of Semmelweis University:
 - First place – HUF 160,000
 - Second place – HUF 140,000
 - Third place – HUF 120,000
 - Fourth place – HUF 100,000
 - Fifth place – HUF 90,000
 - Sixth place – HUF 80,000
- (5) The amount of the cash prize will be reviewed every two years.
- (6) The review shall be carried out by the Vice-Rector for Educational Affairs in accordance with the recommendation of the Head of the SE TSK. The Senate shall decide on the revision.
- (7) The medal and the certificate shall include

- a) the crest of Semmelweis University,
 - b) the inscription “Good Student – Good Athlete” (“Jó tanuló – Jó sportoló”)
 - c) the name and ranking of the recipient
 - d) year of the award.
- (8) The Directorate General of Marketing and Communication of Semmelweis University (hereinafter referred to as MKF) shall be responsible for the production of the medals and certificates, the cost of which shall be borne by MKF. The Director of SE TSK will provide the Director General of MKF with the necessary data (the names of the winners and their ranking) for the production of the medals and certificates one month before the award ceremony. The ceremony takes place each year at the celebration of the National Memorial Day of 15 March organized by MKF.
- (9) The recipients will be notified and invited to the award ceremony by the Director of SE TSK.

2.5. Payment of *Good Student – Good Athlete* cash prizes

- (1) The Director of SE TSK shall inform the Director General of Financial Management about the recipients of the awards, indicating the names of the students and the amount of the cash prize per faculty, by sending the Senate's decision no later than one month before the award ceremony.
- (2) ¹The Director General of Financial Management shall instruct the faculty directors of financial affairs and the Financial Management Unit of the Center of International Training Programs to disburse the cash prize, indicating its source.
- (3) ²The faculty directors of financial affairs and the head of the Financial Management Unit of the Center of International Training Programs shall make the payment no later than 31 March of the current academic year.

3 DR. ISTVÁN SZIVÓS SPORTS AWARD FOR MEDICAL STUDENTS³

- (1) The award can be awarded to students with an active legal student status, who have performed outstandingly in a particular sport while representing the University, either at the Medical Students' Cup or any other sporting event during the current academic year and have thereby raised the profile of the University.
- (2) The award may be proposed by
 - a) the Rector,
 - b) the Vice-Rector for Educational Affairs,
 - c) the dean of a relevant faculty,
 - d) the Director of SE TSK,
 - e) the President of the Students' Union
- (3) The Rector shall decide on at least one award per year.
- (4) The recipient shall be awarded with a glass plaque and a certificate. The award shall not include a cash prize.
- (5) The presentation of the award is a public event for the citizens of the University, the date of which shall be within 30 days after the Medical Students' Cup or a relevant sporting event.

Regulations on Student Awards

¹ Amended by Senate Resolution 65/2022 (02/09), effective from 10 Sept 2022.

² Amended by Senate Resolution 65/2022 (02/09), effective from 10 Sept 2022.

³ Senate Resolution 33/2022 (13/05), effective from 26 May 2022, as provided for in Article 10 (2)

4 Annexes:

Annex 1: Rector's Award Competition – Application Form – available in the Register of Forms (Formanyomtatványtár)

Annex 2: Rector's Award Competition – Declaration of Contents – available in the Register of Forms (Formanyomtatványtár)

Annex 3: Declaration of independent research – available in the Register of Forms (Formanyomtatványtár)

Annex 4: Rector's Award Competition – Assessment Form – available in the Register of Forms (Formanyomtatványtár)

Annex 5: Audit Trail

Annex 6: “Good Student – Good Athlete” – application form – available at the University's Register of Forms (Formanyomtatványtár)

Annex 7: “Good Student – Good Athlete” – Scoring for the assessment of weighted academic average and sports performance

Annex 5

Rector's Award Competition

AUDIT TRAIL

	tasks	preparations	responsibilities					documents issued
			persons responsible	supervisor	mode of supervision	approval	mode of approval	
1.	Recommendations for titles of the Rector's Awards papers.	Titles of the Rector's Awards papers to be finalized.	Graduate and postgraduate educational and research units of the University (institutes, departments and clinical units), teaching hospitals of the University, and the teaching, scientific or medical institutions where the Students' Scientific Association is involved in scientific work (hereinafter referred to as educational or research units)	N/A	N/A	Students' Scientific Association Council	approval	Titles of the Rector's Awards papers
2.	Financial Award for the winners of the First, Second and Third prizes of the Rector's Awards papers	The amount of the prizes to be determined.	Appointed staff of the Directorate General of Legal and Administrative Affairs consults the Rector.	N/A	N/A	Rector	approval and signature	Publication of the amount of the prizes together with the titles.
3.	Submission of applications	Scientific work. The application documentation to be collected.	Applicants	heads of educational and research units	consultation	consultant	endorsement of applications	Submitted applications
4.	Review of Rector's Awards applications	Invitation of internal or independent reviewers.	heads of educational and research units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	letters of acceptance by internal or independent reviewers

Regulations on Student Awards

	tasks	preparations	responsibilities					documents issued
			persons responsible	supervisor	mode of supervision	approval	mode of approval	
5.	Review of applications	Assessment Form (Annex 4) to be completed.	internal or independent reviewers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Assessment Form
6.	Setting up a Rector's Competition Assessment Committee (Committee)	Proposal by the Students' Scientific Association Council for the members of the Committee.	President of the Students' Scientific Association Council	N/A	N/A	Rector	approval	Decision about the formation of the committee
7.	Applications and Assessment Forms to be delivered to the Committee (hard and electronic copies)	The applications and the assessment forms to be collected.	appointed staff of educational or research units	N/A	N/A	head of educational or research units	approval	Applications and their assessment forms are delivered to the Committee
8.	Committee decision about the prizes and commendations	Evaluation of the applications	Committee	N/A	N/A	President of the Committee	approval	Recommendations for the Rector's Awards prizes
9.	Delivery of the applications and assessment forms to the University Library	Evaluation of the applications	President of the Committee	N/A	N/A	Director of the Central Library	approval	Letter of confirmation about safekeeping the documents in the Library

Regulations on Student Awards

	tasks	preparations	responsibilities					documents issued
			persons responsible	supervisor	mode of supervision	approval	mode of approval	
10	Decision about the winners of the prizes	Evaluation of the applications recommended for prizes and commendations	Committee	N/A	N/A	Rector	Prizes awarded to the winners	Scientific papers that were granted the 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd prizes as well as commendation
11	Publication of the titles, authors and consultants of the winning applications	Decision about the winners of the competition to be delivered to the Students' Scientific Association Council	Appointed staff of the Students' Scientific Association Council	heads of educational or research units	approval	President of the Students' Scientific Association Council	approval	Publication of the titles, authors and consultants of the winning applications on the University website
12	Money transfer to the winners of the First, Second and Third prizes	Decision about the winners of the financial prizes to be delivered to the Directorate General of Financial Management	Appointed staff of the Directorate General of Legal and Administrative Affairs	N/A	N/A	heads of Dean's Offices of the relevant faculties	Student data to be sent to the Directorate General of Financial Management	Letter about the delivery of the data of the prize winners
13	Ceremony to deliver the prizes to the winners	Preparations for the Award Ceremony	Appointed staff of the Directorate General of Legal and Administrative Affairs	N/A	N/A	Rector	Award of prizes	Award Ceremony

Regulations on Student Awards

	tasks	preparations	responsibilities					documents issued
			persons responsible	supervisor	mode of supervision	approval	mode of approval	
14	Recording the prize or commendation in the course record book and diploma attachment of the winners	Decision about the winning applications to be sent to the Registrar's Office or Dean's Offices	Appointed staff of the Directorate General of Legal and Administrative Affairs	N/A	N/A	Appointed staff of the Registrar's Office or Dean's Office	approval	the fact of the award is printed in the course record book and diploma attachment
15	Accepting the winning papers as a thesis graded "excellent"	Request of the student to be submitted	Appointed staff of the Registrar's Office	heads of the relevant educational or research units	recommendation	Dean	approval	Decision about accepting the winning papers as a thesis graded "excellent"
16	Accepting the commended papers as a thesis graded "excellent" for students in long-cycle Master's programs	Request of the student to be submitted	Appointed staff of the Registrar's Office	heads of the relevant educational or research units	recommendation	Dean	approval	Decision about accepting the commended papers as a thesis graded "excellent"

Regulations on Student Awards

Good Student – Good Athlete

	tasks	preparations	responsibilities				documents issued	
			persons responsible	supervisor	mode of supervision	approval		mode of approval
1.	Preparing the call for applications	Preparing the document	Director of SE TSK	N/A	Consultation	Director of SE TSK	signature	Call for applications
2.	Review of applications	Receiving applications	5-member committee chaired by the Director of SE TSK	N/A	Consultation	Director of SE TSK	N/A	N/A
3.	Submitting proposals to the Senate for consideration	decision on the applications	5-member committee chaired by the Director of SE TSK	Director of SE TSK	Consultation	submitted by the Vice-Rector for Educational Affairs	signature	Submission
4.	Notifying MKF about the award recipients	Collect data for the preparation of the awards	Director of SE TSK	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Official notification about the Senate's decision containing the required data
5.	Notifying the Director General of Financial Management about the disbursement of cash prizes	Senate resolution	Director of SE TSK	N/A	Consultation	Director of SE TSK	signature	Notification form about the award recipients and the data required for the money transfer
6.	Notifying the faculty directors of financial affairs	Notification about the disbursement	Director General of Financial Management	N/A	N/A	Director General of Financial Management	signature	Notification about the award recipients
7.	Money transfer	Instructions for money transfer	faculty administrator of financial affairs	N/A	N/A	the faculty's director of financial affairs	signature	Documentation of money transfer

N/A: not applicable

Methods for monitoring: reporting, approval, mandatory consultation

Annex 7



SEMMEWEIS UNIVERSITY

Good Student – Good Athlete Scoring for the assessment of weighted academic average and sports performance

1. Scoring based on academic work

1.1. Academic results

Academic average	Score
5,00	350
4,81 - 4,99	300
4,61 - 4,80	250
4,41 - 4,60	200
4,21 - 4,40	150
4,00 - 4,20	120

2. Scoring based on research activities

2.1. National Students' Scientific Association (TDK) Conference

Placement	Score
1 st	150
2 nd	100
3 rd	50

2.2. University Students' Scientific Association (TDK) Conference

Placement	Score
1 st	100
2 nd	50
3 rd	25

2.3. Faculty Students' Scientific Association (TDK) Conference

Placement	Score
1 st	75
2 nd	50
3 rd	25

2.4. Rector's Award Competition

Placement	Score
1 st	125
2 nd	100

3 rd	75
-----------------	----

2.5. Academic Publication

Type	Score
Publication in an international journal as the first author	100
Publication in an international journal as a co-author	75
Foreign language publication in a Hungarian journal as the first author	60
Foreign language publication in a Hungarian journal as a co-author	45
Hungarian language publication in a Hungarian journal as the first author in	30
Hungarian language publication in a Hungarian journal as a co-author	15

2.6. Presentation poster at a scientific conference

Type	Score
At an international conference as the first author	75
At an international conference as a co-author	50
At a Hungarian conference as the first author, in a foreign language	40
At a Hungarian conference as a co-author, in a foreign language	30
At a Hungarian conference as the first author, in Hungarian	20
At a Hungarian conference as a co-author, in Hungarian	15

3. Scoring based on sports performance

Sports performance Scores

	Adult category	Junior category
Olympic champion	400	
Olympic 2 nd or 3 rd place	350	
Olympic 4 th to 6 th place	300	
Olympic participation	280	
World Championship in Olympic sports, 1 st place	350	250
World Championship in Olympic sports, 2 nd -3 rd place	300	200
World Championship in Olympic sports, participation	280	180
European Championship in Olympic sports, 1 st place	300	200
European Championship in Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	280	180
European Championship in Olympic sports, participation	270	170
World Championship in non-Olympic sports, 1 st place	270	170

Regulations on Student Awards

World Championship in non-Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	260	160
World Championship in non-Olympic sports, participation	250	150

FISU World University Games (Universiade), 1 st place	270	
FISU World University Games (Universiade), 2 nd or 3 rd place	260	
FISU World University Games (Universiade) participation	250	

European Championship in non-Olympic sports, 1 st place	260	160
European Championship in non-Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	250	150
European Championship in non-Olympic sports, participation	240	140

World Cup in Olympic sports, 1 st place	240	140
World Cup in Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	230	130
World Cup in Olympic sports, participation	220	120

World Games in non-Olympic sports, 1 st place	220	120
World Games in non-Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	210	110
World Games in non-Olympic sports, participation	200	100

European Cup in Olympic sports, 1 st place	220	120
European Cup in Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	210	110
European Cup in Olympic sports, participation	200	100

European Games in non-Olympic sports, 1 st place	200	100
European Games in non-Olympic sports, 2 nd or 3 rd place	190	90
European Games in non-Olympic sports, participation	180	80

Other international competitions of national teams, 1 st place	120	60
Other international competitions of national teams, 2 nd or 3 rd place	110	50
Other international competitions of national teams, participation	100	40

Other international competitions, 1 st place	100	50
Other international competitions, 2 nd or 3 rd place	90	40
Other international competitions, participation	70	30

National Championship, 1 st place	100	50
National Championship, 2 nd place	90	40
National Championship, participation	70	30

Hungarian Cup, 1 st place	100	
Hungarian Cup, 2 nd place	90	
Hungarian Cup, 3 rd place	70	

Regulations on Student Awards

National Championship the second division, 1 st place	60
National Championship the second division, 2 nd place	50
National Championship the second division, 3 rd place	40
National Championship the second division, participation	20

National Championship the third division, 1 st place	40
National Championship the third division, 2 nd place	30
National Championship the third division, 3 rd place	20
National Championship the third division, participation	10

Hungarian University and College Championship (MEFOB), 1 st place	90
Hungarian University and College Championship (MEFOB), 2 nd place	80
Hungarian University and College Championship (MEFOB), 3 rd place	70
Hungarian University and College Championship (MEFOB), 4 th to 6 th place	60

Budapest Champion	60	30
-------------------	----	----

3.3. Medical Students' Cup

Place	Score
1.	50
2.	20