Problem solving and decision making in endodontics

Dr Vág János Department of Conservative Dentistry Semmelweis University

General dentist

Interdisciplinary: biological, medical, periodontal, prosthetic, orthodontic etc

endodontist

techniqual



Dr. Ken Serota's slides:



When King Louis XII of France asked the Italian captain Gian-Giacomo Trivulzio (1448–1518) what it would take to conquer Milan, the reply was: 'It is necessary to prepare three things, Sir, money, money, and still more money.' The maxim could stand as an epigraph

I VOW

Ø 0.45 m

Beefal 2in1

Fran IDE

OPTIMISE Fill lateral

10



endodontic decision making flow chart



AAE Endodontic Case Difficulty Assessment Form and Guidelines

PATIENT INFORMATION	DISPOSITION
Name	Treat In Office: Yes 🗌 No 🗔
Address	Refer Patient to:
City/State/Zip	
Phone	Date

Guidelines for Using the AAE Endodontic Case Difficulty Assessment Form

The AAE designed the Endodontic Case Difficulty Assessment Form for use in endodontic curricula. The Assessment Form makes case selection more efficient, more consistent and easier to document. Dentists may also choose to use the Assessment Form to help with referral decision making and record neeping.

Conditions listed in this form should be considered potential risk factors that may complicate treatment and adversely affect the outcome. Levels of difficulty are sets of conditions that may not be controllable by the dentist. Risk factors can influence the ability to provide care at a consistently predictable level and the proceeding predictable level and the ability to provide care at a consistently predictable level and the proceeding predictable level and the ability of the provide care at a consistently predictable level and the proceeding predictable level and the predictab

The Assessment Form ena

LEVELS OF DIFFICULT

MINIMAL DIFFICULTY

General dentist

ted). These types of cases would gory. Achieving a predictable tioner with limited experience.

MODERATE DIFFICULTY Preoperative condition is complicated, exhibiting one or more patient or treatment factors listed in the MODERATE DIFFICULTY call gory. Achieving a predictable treatment outcome will be challenging for a competent, expendenced practitioner.

HIGH DIFFICULTY Preoperative condition is exceptionally complicated, exhibiting several factors listed in the MODERATE DIFFICULTY category or at least one whe HIGH DIFFICULTY category. Achieving a predictable treatment outcome will be challenging for sen the most experienced practitioner with an extensive history of favorable outcomes.

Review your assessment of each case to determine the level of difficulty. If the level of difficulty exceeds your experience and comfort, you might consider referral to an endodontist.

The contribution of the Earsedian Academy of Endodontics and others to the development of this form is gratefully acirsowledged.

The AAE Endodentic Case Difficulty Assessment Form is designed to aid the practitionar in determining appropriate case deposition. The American Association of Endodentists nother expressly nor implicitly warrants any positive results associated with the use of this form. This form may be reproduced but may not be anneaded or alreoid in any way.

American Association of Endodontity, 211 E. Chicago Ave., Suite 1100, Chicago, IL 60611 J691; Phone: 800/877-3636 or 312/766-7255; Fax: 866/451-9020 or 312/266-9867;
E-mail: Info@pase.org; Web Uttr: www.aae.org

AAE Endodontic Case Difficulty Assessment Form

	Boost and a second s	provide the second state of the				
	A. PATIEN	IT CONSIDERATIONS				
MEDICAL HISTORY	No medical problem (ASA Class 1*)	 One or more medical problems (ASA Class 2*) 	 Complex medical history/serious liness/disability (ASA Classes 3-5*) 			
ANESTHESIA	El No history of anesthesia problems	□ Vasoconstrictor intoletance	Difficulty achieving anesthesia			
PATIENT DISPOSITION	C Cooperative and compliant	Anxious but cooperative	Li Uncooperative			
A BILITY TO OPEN MOUTH	D No limitation	Slight Imitation in opening	Significant limitation in opening			
GAG REFLEX	CI None	El Gags occasionally with radiographs/treatment	CI Extreme gag reflex which has compromised past dental care			
EMERGENCY CONDITION	Minimum pain or swelling	Moderate pain or swelling	El Severe pain or swelling			
2	B. DIAGNOSTIC AND	TREATMENT CONSIDERATION	15			
DIA GNOSIS	 Signs and symptoms consistent with moognized pulpal and periapical conditions 	 Extensive differential diagnosis of usual signs and symptoms required. 	 Conhusing and complex signs and symptome difficult diagnosis History of chronic oral/facial pain 			
RADIOGRAPHIC DIFFICULTIES	Minimal difficulty obtaining/interpreting radiographs	Moderate difficulty obtaining/interpreting radiographs (e.g., high floor of mouth, narrow or kw palatal voult, presence of tori)	 Extreme difficulty obtaining/interpreting radiographs (e.g., superimposed anatomical structures) 			
DOSITION IN THE ARCH	CI Anterior/premolar	El 1st molar	2nd or 3rd molar			
	L1 signt inclination (<10°)	Moderate inclination (10-30*) Moderate rotation (10-30*)	Entreme inclination (>30°) Extreme rotation (>30°)			
TOOTH ISOLATION	Routine	Simple pretreatment modification required for rubber dam solation	Extensive pretreatment modificate required for rubber dam isolation			
MORPHOLOGIC A BERRATIONS OF CROWN	C Normal original crown weobology	Full coverage restoration Procelain restoration Bridge abutment Moderate devalutment Moderate devalutment Moderate devalutment moderal esthyhoot form (e.g., taurodontiam, modera) Teo with extensive coronal destruction	Restoration does not reflect original anatomy/alignment Significant deviation from normal tooth/toot form (e.g., fusion, dens in dente)			
CANAL AND ROOT MORPHOLOGY RADIOGRAPHIC		lodontist	nterne curveture (5-40°) er chuped curve andibular premolar or terior with 2 toots saillary premolar with 3 roots nail divides in the middle or kail third ny long tooth (5-25 mm) pen apex (5-15 mm) in diameter distinct canal gath			
APPEARANCE OF	in size		anal(s) not visible			
APPEARANCE OF CANAL(S)	in size	LI Pup Mones	anal(s) not visible			
APPEARANCE OF CANAL(S) RESORPTION	in size	CI Pogetones CI Moncal apical resorption	anal(s) not visible			
APPEARANCE OF CANAL(S) RESORPTION	In size	In Polyatones Moreal apical resorption	anal(s) not visible Extensive apical resorption Internal resorption External resorption			
APPEARANCE OF CANAL(S) RESORPTION	In size No resorption evident C. ADDITIO	Fundament Montal apical resorption Montal apical resorption Loonsiderations	anal(s) not visible Extensive apiral resorption Internal resorption External resorption			
APPEARANCE OF CANAL(S) RESORPTION TRAUMA HISTORY	In size IN nexception evident C. ADDITIO Uncomplicated crown fracture of mature or immature teeth	Completence Compl	anal(c) not veable anal(c) not veable thernal recorption thernal recorption Ceremal recorption Ceremal recorption Complicated crown fracture of intimature treth Horizontal root fracture Anveolar fracture Intriuvice, extrusive or lateral lucation Avuition			
APPEARANCE OF CANAL(S) RESORPTION TRAUMA HISTORY ENDODONTIC ENDODONTIC	In size No resorption evident C. ADDITIO Uncomplicated crown fracture of mature or immature teeth No previous treatment	Programmes Montal apical resorption Montal apical resorption Considerations Complicated corport fracture of mature steeth Subkuation Previous access without complications	anal(c) not veable Latensive apical recorption Internal recorption termal recorption Complicated crown fracture of immature teeth Horizontal root fracture Mevalar fracture Mevican fracture			

*American Society of Ariestities(ologists (ASA) Classification System

Class 4. Patient with severe systemic lines that immobilizes and is sometimes

- Use threatening: Class 5: Patient will not survive more than 24 bours whether or not surgical intervention takes place
- Class 1 No systemic liness. Pationt healthy. Class 2 Patisini with mild dogree of systemic liness, but without functional resistictors, e.g., well-controlled hypotamation Class 3 Patient with severe degree of systemic liness which limits activities, but does not immediated the patient.

www.sahg.org/dexca/physica8tatus.ntm

Last but not least: miscellaneous aspects

- Recall
- cost
- time

(National Insurance System, private, dental tourism, treatment by undergraduate student, endodontist)

The career of a tooth determined by our series of decisions

wrong decisons

Good decisions







Do not harm: choose the least conservative method first



Effect of techniqual factors

Azim et al. Tennessee Study: Treatment outcome and healing time

Table 2	Treatment	factors	affecting	the	outcome	of	root	canal	treatment
---------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----	---------	----	------	-------	-----------

		Favoura	ble	Unfavoura	ble	Favourable				
Prognostic factors N	N*	Healed	Healing	Uncertain	Unsatisfactory	outcome %	95% CI	Cramer's V	χ^2	P- value
Procedural errors										
Present	17	5	0	4	8	29.4	7.7–51.1 a	0.2776	28.98	<0.001
Absent	405	321	19	45	20	84	80.4-87.6 b			
Voids										
Absent	411	320	18	46	27	82.2	78.5-85.9 a	0.0768	1.39	0.238
Present	11	6	1	3	1	63.6	35.2-92.0 a			
Root filling density										
Poor	8	1	0	1	6	12.5	0.0-35.4 a	0.2493	21.7	<0.001
Good	414	325	19	48	22	83.1	79.6-86.8 b			
Apical extension										
>2 mm	18	6	0	2	10	33.3	11.6-55.1 a	0.3132	41.39	<0.001
1–2 mm	94	63	5	18	8	73.4	63.3-81.4 b			
Within 0.5 mm	284	237	13	26	8	88	84.2-91.8 c			
Over	26	20	1	3	2	80.8	65.7-95.9 b,c			
Total	422	326	19	49	28	81.7				

Small letters indicating homogenous subsets.

^{*}Number of roots; Bold P values indicate significance.

File separation ?=? disaster



The career of a tooth



MTWO versus Meitrac



- size 20/.06 taper,8 mm fragment
- Meitrac I 15-50
- Meitrac II 55-90



	-8	0.68
	-7	0.62
	-6	0.56
	-5	0.5
	-4	0.44
	-3	0.38
	-2	0.32
	-1	0.26
	0	0.2



Cohen et al. Rips, Strips and Broken Tips: Handling the Endodontic Mishap **Oral**health May 2005

- 1. Bypassing
- 2. True blockage: "Sealing"
- 3. Removal
 - Tube-sleeve-fit
 - Sonic/ultrasonic release,
 - Microscopic control

Risk of removal:

Perforation, root fracture, ledging, pushing fragments into the periapical area

Removal







presents

Removal of a broken instrument with the tube technique.





True blockagesealing

Monitoring

80.7% periapikális lézió esetén

92.4% ha nincs periapikális lézió (P < .02)

Impact of a Retained Instrument on Treatment Outcome: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis J Endod 2010;36:775–780

Piyanee Panitvisai, DDS, MDSc, * Pimnalin Parunnit, Cert in Endodontics,*



File separation: prevalence is 0.4%–3.7%

"An expert is a man who has made all the mistakes which can be made, in a narrow field."

Niels Bohr nuclear physicist

Perforation





needle control









15 months control Temporary crown (gradia) No post

3 years







hemisection





2 weeks







Post and core

try-in

MTA Mineral trioxid aggregate

Since 1993 (Lee SJ, Monsef M, Torabinejad M.), pulp capping, perforation, apexification, retrograde root filling





Perforatio



Mesiolingualis, post preparation, MTA

3 months

Súlyos Perforatio





















Súlyos Perforatio



Fél éves kontroll

1 éves kontroll

Retreatment

- Weakening of dentin wall fracture, perforation
- Blockage
- File separation
- Transportation
- Re-infection



Factors affecting the long-term results of endodontic treatment

Journal of Endodontics Volume 16, Issue 10, Pages 498-504, October 1990.

- 356 patients 8 to 10 yr after the treatment.
- The predictability from clinical and radiographic signs of the treatment-outcome in individual cases with preoperative periapical lesions cases was found to be low.
- Thus, factors which were not measured or identified may be critical to the outcome of endodontic treatment.

Retreatment

2004.08.23

2009.05.08.

2010.08.04.

2010.11.08

2010.11.08

2011.03.28.

Occlusion

Do we need to remove old root canal feeling?

David Keinan, Joshua Moshonov and Ami Smidt J Am Dent Assoc 2011;142;391-396

Decision-Making Flowchart:

Focal infection vs extraction

-28.00

-24.00

-26.00

Preoperative

needle control

4 months

9 months

12 months

14 months

Cyst or not cyst that is NOT the question

Endodontic Treatment of a Large Cyst-Like Periradicular Lesion Using a Combination of Antibiotic Drugs: A Case Report *U[°] Iku[°]* O[°] zan, DDS, * and Ku[°]rs, at *Er, DDS, PhD*JOE* — Volume 31, Number 12, December 2005

7 months

(Calskan MK. Prognosis of large cyst-like periapical lesions following nonsurgical root canal treatment: a clinical review. Int Endod J 2004;37:408 –16.)

•Large cyst-like peripacial lesion: 74% healed with surgery

Endodontic Predictability: "Are You Making the Right Decisions?" Written by John D. West, DDS, MSD Tuesday, 01 June 2010 00:00

TENENDODONTIC DECISION MOMENTS

- Decision No. 1: Do Your Clinical Skills Match the Rules of Endodontic Predictability?
- Decision No. 2: Is Your Endodontics Illuminating?
- Decision No. 3: Are You Designing Proper Access Cavities?
- Decision No.4: Are You Willing to Learn How to Simultaneously Use Intention and Restraint in Order to Follow the Canal to its Terminus?
- Decision No. 5: Are You Willing to Master the GlidePath so You Can Make Rotary Endodontics Safe, Predictable, and Efficient?
- Decision No. 6: What Rotary Shaping System is the Right One for You?
- **Decision No.7:** What Cleaning Techniques Should I Choose?
- Decision No. 8: Should I Make a Conefit Prior to Obturation ?
- Decision No.9: What Obturation Method Is For Me?
- **Decision No. 10:** What Should You Do About the All-Important Coronal Seal?