




# Filling materials in pedodontics

Dr Szabó Violetta

Semmelweis University

Department of Paediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics




# Materials

## Filling materials


- Temporary
- Long term temporary
- Underfilling materials
- Definitive
- Fissure sealing

## Endodontic materials




# Temporary filling materials

- Pasta – setting under wet conditions
  - Zinc-oxide
- Zinc-oxide-eugenol
- Glassionomer
- Light-curing compozit
- Guttapercha



# Temporary filling materials


- Non toxic
- Good retention
- Good marginal adaptation
- No heat conduction
- Easy apply and remove
- Good aesthetic
- Not expensive






# Underfilling materials

- Deep cavity
- Dentintubes obliteration
- Protection: heat, electric, mechanical, chemical stimulation
- Pulpantiinflammatory
- Dentinbridge, remineralisation
- Antibacterial effects




# Underfilling materials

- Varnish: 1-50  $\mu\text{m}$ 
  - Dentintube obliteration
  - Protection against chemical stimulations
  - No protection against physical stimulations
  - Dentin-sealer
- Liner: 0,2-1 mm
  - Calcium-hidroxid
  - Glass ionomer
- Base: 1-2 mm
  - cements



# Zinc-oxy-phosphate cement


- Liner – fast setting
- Luting – long setting, smaller particle size
- Acid-basic reaction
- No obliteration of dentin tubules
- Oral bacteria digest – bad smell
- In vitro: cytotoxic, mutagenic



# Zinc-oxide-eugenol cement




- Good marginal adaptation
- Long bactericid effect
- Anaelgesic effect
- Long term temporary filling
- Not recommended as base
- Not use under composite filling – oily




# Polycarboxylate cement

- Poliacrylic acid
- Acid-basic reaction
- In vivo: lower toxicity
- In vitro: toxicity:  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $F^-$ , mutagenic
- Chemical bondig (carboxyl group – Ca)
- Higher solubility, not good mechanical properties (zinc-oxide-phosphate)
- Difficult handling



# Calcium-phosphate cement

- Good biocompatibility
- not good mechanical properties
- Acid-basic reaction
- underfilling
- Pulp capping




# Glass Ionomer cement (GIC)




Reactive glass particules (composite: inert glass)

- Acid-basic reaction
- Ion release:  $F^-$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$
- Underfilling
- Temporary filling
- Prosthetic restauration
- Definitive filling
- Fissure sealing












		Advantage	Disadvantage	Indication
Self-curing	Traditional self-curing, powder+liquid	F- release, chemical bonding, low thermal expansion	Mechanical properties, long fasting, sensitive for wetness	Primary molars
	Traditional mixing with water			
	Dual setting	Lower sensitivity for wetness		Fissure sealing, temporary filling
	Hard, fast setting	F- release, faster setting, higher strength	Good mechanical properties, lower aesthetics	Primary molars, long term temporary filling, underfilling
	Resin reinforced (RMGI)	F- release, faster setting, lower sensitivity for wetness aesthetics	polymerization shrinkage-1%, pulp harming	Primary molars, long term temporary filling, underfilling, luting cement
	Cermet (metal reinforced cement)		aesthetics, mechanical properties, lower F release	Underfilling, prosthetic reparation
Light-curing	Light-curing	Lower sensitivity for wetness	polymerization shrinkage-1%, pulp harming	Primary molars, long term temporary filling, underfilling, luting cement
	Triple setting			


# Compomer – composite+glass ionomer

- Special composite
  - Bifunctional monomer
  - Reactive glass filler
  - 2 reaction during setting
    - Free radical polymerisation
    - Acid-basic reaction – water absorption from the environment
  - Chemical bonding to the tooth
  - F<sup>-</sup> release
- Light-curing – filling material
- Self-curing – luting cement










# Composite filling materials




Min. two chemically different materials and one phase 3D combination

inorganic fillers


organic base

silane phase





# Composite filling materials

- Good marginal adaptation
- Not heat conduction
- Abrasion resistance
- Good aesthetic
- Easy apply
- Polymerization shrinkage
- Sensitivity for water
- Light-curing




# Organic base

- BIS-GMA
- UDMA
- TEGDMA



# Classification by filler particle size


- Megafiller: extreme big special particles
- Macrofiller: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ -100  $\mu\text{m}$
- Midifiller: 1  $\mu\text{m}$ -10  $\mu\text{m}$
- Minifiller: 0,1  $\mu\text{m}$ -1  $\mu\text{m}$
- Microfiller: 0,01  $\mu\text{m}$ -0,1  $\mu\text{m}$
- Nanofiller: 0,005  $\mu\text{m}$ -0,01  $\mu\text{m}$






# Classification by F. Lutz

- Conventional composite
- Hybrid composite
- Homogenic microfilled composite
- Nonhomogenic microfilled composite




# Conventional composite

- Makrofiller: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ -100  $\mu\text{m}$
- Good physical properties
- Acceptable optical properties
- Low polymerization shrinkage
- Hard finishing




# Homogenic microfilled composite

- Only pyrogen silica microfiller: 0,01  $\mu\text{m}$ -0,1  $\mu\text{m}$
- No silane copolymerization
- Good abrasion resistance
- Good finishing
- High viscosity
- High polymerization shrinkage (>4%)




# Nonhomogeneous microfilled composite

- Microfiller-complex(100-200  $\mu\text{m}$ )
- Good marginal adaptation
- Good finishing
- High polymerization shrinkage
- Fractures inside



# Hybrid composite

- Conventional composite with pyrogen silica microfiller
- Polymerization shrinkage: 1,5-2%
- Midi, mini, nanofiller
- Good physical properties



# Fissure sealing




- Composite
- Glassionomer

○ Transparent

○ White

○ Tooth-colored


○ Colored





# Endodontic materials

- Vitalamputation (pulpotomy)
  - Calcium-hydroxide
  - Ferrous sulphate
  - Formocrezol
  - Glutaraldehyde
  - MTA, Biodentine








# Rootcanal filling materials – primary tooth


## Resorbable

- Calcium hydroxide
- Zinc-oxide-eugenol cement
- „Tihanyi paszta”
- Jodoform
- Maisto
- KRI



# Rootcanal filling materials – permanent tooth

- Guttapercha
- Sealer:
  - Zinc-oxide-eugenol
  - Epoxy resin
  - Methacrylate
  - Polyketone
  - Polydimethyl-siloxane
  - Salicylate, Calcium hydroxide
  - Glass Ionomer
  - MTA, Biodentine




# MTA- Mineral Trioxide Aggregate

## Ingredients:

- tricalcium silicate
- dicalcium silicate
- tricalcium aluminate
- calcium sulphate dihydrate
- bismuth oxide
- tetracalcium aluminoferrite




pH: 12,5




# MTA- Mineral Trioxide Aggregate

## Application:

- lateral root perforation
- bifurcation perforations
- retrograde rootcanal filling
- direct pulp capping
- apexification, pulp addressing





Thank you for the attention!

