



**Poszterminta kongresszusra**



# Poszterminta kongresszusra



POSZTER SZÁMA  
még nem tudjuk



888XX

AZ ABSZTRAKTban leadott CÍM

NEVEKET pontosan  
Vezetéknév majd  
keresztnév rövidítve...  
Munkahely pontos  
megjelölése és  
vezetőjénék neve

m cí m cí m cí m í m cí m

lsőszerző A. Másodikszerző B.

Absztarktban  
meghatározott és  
elfogadott cím

A CÍM

# A cím cím cím cím cím



Elsőszerző A. Másodikszerző B.  
Semmelweis Egyetem Fogorvostudományi Kar  
Fogászati és Szájsebészeti Oktató Intézet

Igazgató: Dr. Kivovics Péter egyetemi docens  
<http://semmelweis-egyetem.hu/fszoi/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/fszoi>

880 KX



## Bevezetés

In the orthodontic treatment it is a common task to open space for one or more ectopic teeth in a crowded dental arch. Some solutions have been used for a long time, for example opened coil springs (stainless steel or NiTi), expansions loops bended in arch and similars. In my practice I use a simple spring to open space. This is a bended 0.016 round wire, anchored on brackets neigbor to space which I want to open. I put it under the main arch. I called it „Simple Space Opening Spring”.



99. Kép SSOS spring.  
Each group consists  
5 cases. During space  
opening treatment I  
compared the four  
mechanics in sight  
of: handling



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



1. Kép mit is látunk itt

## Célkitűzés

The aim of this presentation to introduce the SSOP and qualitative comparing of SSOP and other space opening mechanics during clinical use.

## Anyag és módszer

20 patients having space deficit on upper frontal region treated with 4 different mechanics to open space. The four groups are the followings: A – expansions loop in the main arch, B – opened coil spring on the main arch, C – composite stops on superelastic arch, D – SSOS spring. Each group consists 5 cases. During space opening treatment I compared the four mechanics in sight of: handling (simplicity, chair time for inserting and activation), effectiveness, control of arch form, hygiene, patient comfort, costs. According to the level of finding I marked these with +, ++, or +++ . The next pictures show different groups of mechanics, before and after space opening.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Eredmények

Group	Effectivity	Archform control	Chair time	Patient comfort	Hygiene	Cost
A	+++	+	+++	+	+	++
B	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++
C	+	++	++	+++	+++	+
D	++	+++	+	+	+	+

## Megbeszélés

In space opening the most effective mechanics is the expansions arch, because it works without friction. On other sight this group A has the lowest level in arch control. The bending of it needs time and also costs, but material costs are low. It usually makes discomfort for patient, and it's difficult to clean it. Group B and D have same level of effectivity and same control on arch form because they are working along an

## Következtetések

For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Irodalom

Lelis IM, Molina GF, Souza C, Perez WB, Laure HJ, Rosa JC, Gerlach RF. Peptide Characterization of Mature Fluorotic and Control Human Enamel. Braz Dent J. 2016 Feb;27(1):66-71. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440201600424.

További kérdésekre és hozzászlásokra szívesen válaszolok:  
[nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu](mailto:nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu)

Minden kép feliratozva és  
SZÁMOZVA, ha nem saját kép  
megjelölni a fénykép eredetét!!!

Eredményeket táblázathan-  
• egységes nevezéktan  
• következetes jelölés



Irodalom  
 pontosan  
 meghatározva  
 ezen minta  
 alapján!!  
 ELÉRHETŐSÉG

**Bevezetésben néhány mondatban kell kifejteni a téma  
háttérét...**

**Rövid, lényegretörő, egyértelmű irodalmi hivatkozással!!**

## Anyag és Módszer

Világos és egyértelmű, ne keverjük az eredményekkel.  
A felmérés hol és mikor készült, milyen standard körülmények között.

# A cím cím cím cím cím



Elsőszerző A. Másodikszerző B.  
Semmelweis Egyetem Fogorvostudományi Kar  
Fogászati és Szájsebészeti Oktató Intézet

Igazgató: Dr. Kivovics Péter egyetemi docens  
<http://semmelweis-egyetem.hu/fszoi/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/fszoi>

880 KX



## Bevezetés

In the orthodontic treatment it is a common task to open space for one or more ectopic teeth in a crowded dental arch. Some solutions have been used for a long time, for example opened coil springs (stainless steel or NiTi), expansions loops bended in arch and similars. In my practice I use a simple spring to open space. This is a bended 0.016 round wire, anchored on brackets neigbor to space which I want to open. I put it under the main arch. I called it „Simple Space Opening Spring”.



99. Kép SSOS spring.  
Each group consists  
5 cases. During space  
opening treatment I  
compared the four  
mechanics in sight  
of: handling



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



## Célkitűzés

The aim of this presentation to introduce the SSOP and qualitative comparing of SSOP and other space opening mechanics during clinical use.

## Anyag és módszer

20 patients having space deficit on upper frontal region treated with 4 different mechanics to open space. The four groups are the followings: A – expansions loop in the main arch, B – opened coil spring on the main arch, C – composite stops on superelastic arch, D – SSOS spring. Each group consists 5 cases. During space opening treatment I compared the four mechanics in sight of: handling (simplicity, chair time for inserting and activation), effectiveness, control of arch form, hygiene, patient comfort, costs. According to the level of finding I marked these with +, ++, or +++ . The next pictures show different groups of mechanics, before and after space opening.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Eredmények

Group	Effectivity	Archform control	Chair time	Patient comfort	Hygiene	Cost
A	+++	+	+++	+	+	++
B	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++
C	+	++	++	+++	+++	+
D	++	+++	+	+	+	+

## Megbeszélés

In space opening the most effective mechanics is the expansions arch, because it works without friction. On other sight this group A has the lowest level in arch control. The bending of it needs time and also costs, but material costs are low. It usually makes discomfort for patient, and it's difficult to clean it. Group B and D have same level of effectivity and same control on arch form because they are working along an

## Következtetések

For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Irodalom

Lelis IM, Molina GF, Souza C, Perez WB, Laure HJ, Rosa JC, Gerlach RF. Peptide Characterization of Mature Fluorotic and Control Human Enamel. Braz Dent J. 2016 Feb;27(1):66-71. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440201600424.

További kérdésekre és hozzászlásokra szívesen válaszolok:  
[nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu](mailto:nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu)

Minden kép feliratozva és  
SZÁMOZVA, ha nem saját kép  
megjelölni a fénykép eredetét!!!

Eredményeket táblázathan-  
• egységes nevezéktan  
• következetes jelölés



Irodalom  
 pontosan  
 meghatározva  
 ezen minta  
 alapján!!  
 ELÉRHETŐSÉG



Minden kép feliratozva és  
**SZÁMOZVA**, ha nem saját kép  
megjelölni a fénykép eredetét!!!

# A cím cím cím cím cím

88/KX



Elsőszerző A. Másodikszerző B.  
Semmelweis Egyetem Fogorvostudományi Kar  
Fogászati és Szájsebészeti Oktató Intézet

Igazgató: Dr. Kivovics Péter egyetemi docens  
<http://semmelweis-egyetem.hu/fszoi/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/fszoi>



## Bevezetés

In the orthodontic treatment it is a common task to open space for one or more ectopic teeth in a crowded dental arch. Some solutions have been used for a long time, for example opened coil springs (stainless steel or NiTi), expansions loops bended in arch and similars. In my practice I use a simple spring to open space. This is a bended 0.016 round wire, anchored on brackets neigbor to space which I want to open. I put it under the main arch. I called it „Simple Space Opening Spring”.



99. Kép SSOS spring.  
Each group consists  
5 cases. During space  
opening treatment I  
compared the four  
mechanics in sight  
of: handling



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



## Célkitűzés

The aim of this presentation to introduce the SSOP and qualitative comparing of SSOP and other space opening mechanics during clinical use.

## Anyag és módszer

20 patients having space deficit on upper frontal region treated with 4 different mechanics to open space. The four groups are the followings: A – expansions loop in the main arch, B – opened coil spring on the main arch, C – composite stops on superelastic arch, D – SSOS spring. Each group consists 5 cases. During space opening treatment I compared the four mechanics in sight of: handling (simplicity, chair time for inserting and activation), effectiveness, control of arch form, hygiene, patient comfort, costs. According to the level of finding I marked these with +, ++, or +++ . The next pictures show different groups of mechanics, before and after space opening.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

Minden kép feliratozva és  
SZÁMOZVA, ha nem saját kép  
megjelölni a fénykép eredetét!!!

Eredményeket táblázatban:  
• egységes nevezéktan  
• következetes jelölés

## Eredmények

Group	Effectivity	Archform control	Chair time	Patient comfort	Hygiene	Cost
A	+++	+	+++	+	+	++
B	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++
C	+	++	++	+++	+++	+
D	++	+++	+	+	+	+

## Megbeszélés

In space opening the most effective mechanics is the expansions arch, because it works without friction. On other sight this group A has the lowest level in arch control. The bending of it needs time and also costs, but material costs are low. It usually makes discomfort for patient, and it's difficult to clean it. Group B and D have same level of effectiveness and same control on arch form because they are working along an

## Következtetések

For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Irodalom

Lelis IM, Molina GF, Souza C, Perez WB, Laure HJ, Rosa JC, Gerlach RF. Peptide Characterization of Mature Fluorotic and Control Human Enamel. Braz Dent J. 2016 Feb;27(1):66-71. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440201600424.

További kérdésekre és hozzászlásokra szívesen válaszolok:  
nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu



## Eredményeket táblázatban-

- egységes nevezéktan
- következetes jelölés

ne	Cost
++	
+++	

# A cím cím cím cím cím

88/KX



Elsőszerző A. Másodikszerző B.  
Semmelweis Egyetem Fogorvostudományi Kar  
Fogászati és Szájsebészeti Oktató Intézet

Igazgató: Dr. Kivovics Péter egyetemi docens  
<http://semmelweis-egyetem.hu/fszoi/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/fszoi>



## Bevezetés

In the orthodontic treatment it is a common task to open space for one or more ectopic teeth in a crowded dental arch. Some solutions have been used for a long time, for example opened coil springs (stainless steel or NiTi), expansions loops bended in arch and similars. In my practice I use a simple spring to open space. This is a bended 0.016 round wire, anchored on brackets neigbor to space which I want to open. I put it under the main arch. I called it „Simple Space Opening Spring”.



99. Kép SSOS spring.  
Each group consists  
5 cases. During space  
opening treatment I  
compared the four  
mechanics in sight  
of: handling



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



1. Kép mit is látunk itt

## Célkitűzés

The aim of this presentation to introduce the SSOP and qualitative comparing of SSOP and other space opening mechanics during clinical use.

## Anyag és módszer

20 patients having space deficit on upper frontal region treated with 4 different mechanics to open space. The four groups are the followings: A – expansions loop in the main arch, B – opened coil spring on the main arch, C – composite stops on superelastic arch, D – SSOS spring. Each group consists 5 cases. During space opening treatment I compared the four mechanics in sight of: handling (simplicity, chair time for inserting and activation), effectiveness, control of arch form, hygiene, patient comfort, costs. According to the level of finding I marked these with +, ++, or +++ . The next pictures show different groups of mechanics, before and after space opening.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

Minden kép feliratozva és  
SZÁMOZVA, ha nem saját kép  
megjelölni a fénykép eredetét!!!

Eredményeket táblázathban:  
• egységes nevezéktan  
• következetes jelölés

## Eredmények

Group	Effectivity	Archform control	Chair time	Patient comfort	Hygiene	Cost
A	+++	+	+++	+	+	++
B	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++
C	+	++	++	+++	+++	+
D	++	+++	+	+	+	+

## Megbeszélés

In space opening the most effective mechanics is the expansions arch, because it works without friction. On other sight this group A has the lowest level in arch control. The bending of it needs time and also costs, but material costs are low. It usually makes discomfort for patient, and it's difficult to clean it. Group B and D have same level of effectiveness and same control on arch form because they are working along an

## Következtetések

For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Irodalom

Lelis IM, Molina GF, Souza C, Perez WB, Laure HJ, Rosa JC, Gerlach RF. Peptide Characterization of Mature Fluorotic and Control Human Enamel. Braz Dent J. 2016 Feb;27(1):66-71. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440201600424.

További kérdésekre és hozzászlásokra szívesen válaszolok:  
nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu



Irodalom  
 pontosan  
 meghatározva  
 ezen minta  
 alapján!!  
 ELÉRHETŐSÉG

fer from  
mstances

peptide



Irodalom  
pontosan  
meghatározva  
ezen minta  
alapján! !  
**ELÉRHETŐSÉG**

# A cím cím cím cím cím



Elsőszerző A. Másodikszerző B.  
Semmelweis Egyetem Fogorvostudományi Kar  
Fogászati és Szájsebészeti Oktató Intézet

Igazgató: Dr. Kivovics Péter egyetemi docens  
<http://semmelweis-egyetem.hu/fszoi/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/fszoi>

880 KX



## Bevezetés

In the orthodontic treatment it is a common task to open space for one or more ectopic teeth in a crowded dental arch. Some solutions have been used for a long time, for example opened coil springs (stainless steel or NiTi), expansions loops bended in arch and similars. In my practice I use a simple spring to open space. This is a bended 0.016 round wire, anchored on brackets neigbor to space which I want to open. I put it under the main arch. I called it „Simple Space Opening Spring”.



99. Kép SSOS spring.  
Each group consists  
5 cases. During space  
opening treatment I  
compared the four  
mechanics in sight  
of: handling



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



1. Kép mit is látunk itt



## Célkitűzés

The aim of this presentation to introduce the SSOP and qualitative comparing of SSOP and other space opening mechanics during clinical use.

## Anyag és módszer

20 patients having space deficit on upper frontal region treated with 4 different mechanics to open space. The four groups are the followings: A – expansions loop in the main arch, B – opened coil spring on the main arch, C – composite stops on superelastic arch, D – SSOS spring. Each group consists 5 cases. During space opening treatment I compared the four mechanics in sight of: handling (simplicity, chair time for inserting and activation), effectiveness, control of arch form, hygiene, patient comfort, costs. According to the level of finding I marked these with +, ++, or +++ . The next pictures show different groups of mechanics, before and after space opening.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.



For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Eredmények

Group	Effectivity	Archform control	Chair time	Patient comfort	Hygiene	Cost
A	+++	+	+++	+	+	++
B	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++
C	+	++	++	+++	+++	+
D	++	+++	+	+	+	+

## Megbeszélés

In space opening the most effective mechanics is the expansions arch, because it works without friction. On other sight this group A has the lowest level in arch control. The bending of it needs time and also costs, but material costs are low. It usually makes discomfort for patient, and it's difficult to clean it. Group B and D have same level of effectivity and same control on arch form because they are working along an

## Következtetések

For opening spaces we can choose different mechanics which differ from each other in advantages and disadvantages. In actually case and circumstances we have to decide to use one or other to reach the best result.

## Irodalom

Lelis IM, Molina GF, Souza C, Perez WB, Laure HJ, Rosa JC, Gerlach RF. Peptide Characterization of Mature Fluorotic and Control Human Enamel. Braz Dent J. 2016 Feb;27(1):66-71. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440201600424.

További kérdésekre és hozzászlásokra szívesen válaszolok:  
[nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu](mailto:nemeth.orsolya@dent.semmelweis-univ.hu)

Minden kép feliratozva és  
SZÁMOZVA, ha nem saját kép  
megjelölni a fénykép eredetét!!!

Eredményeket táblázathan-  
• egységes nevezéktan  
• következetes jelölés



Irodalom  
 pontosan  
 meghatározva  
 ezen minta  
 alapján!!  
 ELÉRHETŐSÉG



# Poszterminta kongresszusra

## Anyag és Módszer

Világos és egyértelmű, ne keverjük az eredményekkel.  
A felmérés hol és mikor készült, milyen standard körülmények között.



# Poszterminta kongresszusra