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AQG = air quality guideline
AQI = air quality index
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BMI = body mass index
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COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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FVC = forced vital capacity
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PWV = pulse wave velocity
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SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism
SPSS = Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
t = time
TLC = total lung capacity
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USA or US = United States of America
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1. Introduction

1.1. Twins’ contribution to science

Twins have always captured researchers. Twin studies have been a valuable source of information about the genetic basis of complex traits. Comparing monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins, genetic background of diseases can be evaluated in susceptibility to a disease. Twins are also an excellent resource for studying the significance of the interaction of a genotype and the environment as well as the contribution of specific polymorphisms to the total genetic variance (Boomsma et al. 2002). There are diseases whose background is only genetic (e.g. chromosome defects), or ones which are determinated only by the environment (e.g. infections, injuries). However, most of the diseases possess a genetic and environmental contribution (multifactorial) (e.g. hypertension, body composition) (Méneteki 2005). Recent statistical models allow simultaneous analysis of many variables in relatives such as MZ and DZ twins in order to calculate the ratio of these contributions to a phenotype (Méneteki 2005).

MZ twins share nearly 100% of their genes, because MZ twins derive from a single fertilized egg and inherit identical genetic material (Méneteki 2005). In comparison, DZ twins are 50% identical genetically in average (Méneteki 2005). MZ twins can be only same-sex, while DZ twins may be of either same or opposite sex (Méneteki 2005). Galton’s classic paper on twins was the first published paper in the nineteenth century using classical twin method (Galton 1875).

The classical twin study model involves MZ and DZ twins by comparing the phenotypic resemblances of the twins (Siemens 1924). In case of a heritable disease, MZ twin pairs are more concordant than DZ twins (Siemens 1924). Additive genetic influence (A) can be calculated from higher correlation in MZ than in DZ twins. Similarity of correlations suggests a contribution of the common familial environmental factors (C) shared by the twins (e.g. familiar socialization, diet, air pollution). Unique environmental factors (E) which affect only one member of the twin pair, can be estimated using the deviation from perfect MZ co-twin correlation (Méneteki 2005). Univariate quantitative genetic ACE models – which are able to estimate components
by capitalizing on several reasonable assumptions - can be fitted to decompose phenotypic variance of the considered parameters into additive genetic effects, or heritability (Neale et al. 2006). Identical twins share their genomes (r=1.0) while this correlates r=0.5 for fraternal twins; both MZ and DZ twins equally share their common environment (C) (r=1 for both MZ and DZ twins). Finally, the unique environment (E) of co-twins remains uncorrelated for both zygosities (Métnéki 2005).

Twin studies contribute to discover of the relationship of genes, environment and diseases (Métnéki 2005). This field helps researchers to explore the source and genetic determinacy of disorders (such as cancer, heart diseases, arthritis, diabetes) (Métnéki 2005). Nowadays twin studies are combined with new gene technologies, provide help in gene localisation, open new ways in prevention and therapy (Métnéki 2005).

1.2. History of Hungarian twin studies

Twin studies in Hungary date back to 1970s on the basis of three different databases, all of them through the efforts of Andrew Czeizel. The Budapest Twin Registry (BTR) was launched in 1970 by the Department of Human Genetics and Teratology, National Institute of Hygiene. The notification of all multiple births (including stillbirths) was carried out by physicians of obstetrical institutions in the capital. The registry’s purpose revolved first, around the insurance of twins’ developmental health in the perinatal and postnatal periods. Placentas were collected and analyzed in the “Heim Pál” Children’s Hospital. In addition, twin zygosity was determined in all dichorionic like-sex twins by determining their blood and serum protein groups. Twins’ health was assessed by pediatricians at 6 months and at 1, 3, 6 and 10 years of age (Czeizel et al. 1979). As a byproduct, the BTR offered a unique opportunity for scientific research. For example, a connection between contraceptive pills containing high dose hormones used in the periconceptional period and frequency of dizygotic twin births was demonstrated (Métnéki and Czeizel 1980). Other research associated with the BTR focused not only on genetic questions but risk factors in twin births. One such study assessed retinopia prematorum, which is associated with premature births and is a risk factor of multiple births (Métnéki et
al. 1991). Also, the duration of gestation and the intrauterine growth of multiple fetuses was compared to singletons (Török et al. 1985; Török et al. 1988). Additional research evaluated the demographic and epidemiological characteristics of multiple births (Métneki 1996; Métneki and Czeizel, 1983, Métneki and Czeizel, 1986).

Unfortunately, due to a lack of funding, the twins’ health program was dissolved in the 1980s and in the 1990s institutional and administrational changes led to the complete discontinuation of the registry. In the early 1980s, after a successful study of lactose intolerance on the population and the need for an adult twin sample in order to estimate the hereditary model of this phenomenon, Júlia Métneki and Andrew Czeizel initiated a second, volunteer adult, twin registry recruiting with newspaper ads and other media presence (Métneki 1996; Métneki et al. 1984; Flatz et al. 1985).

These two twin registries allowed for multiple national research projects assessing child age math aptitude, intelligence, creativity, and musical talent, augmented with neurophysiological assessments (Métneki 1996). Other studies focused on psychological, sociological characteristics; one study assessed premenstrual syndrome symptoms as a mood disorder and a suicide risk factors (Métneki 1996), another the impact of metal load on heart rate variability (Láng et al. 1992).

In a collaboration with dentists, a comparative study was performed on the consumption of cariogenic food in MZ and same-sex DZ twins (Pados et al. 1989). After investigating the effect of periconceptional multivitamin supplementation containing folic acid on fertility at the pregnant women taking part in the Hungarian Optimal Family Service, Andrew Czeizel and Julia Métneki first reported their “side-effect”, namely, the higher frequency of twin pregnancies (Czeizel et al. 1994).

Recently, a never before published psychosexual assessment was made available to the international research community (Métneki et al. 2011). Much of the results were reconstructed from notes, summary statistics, presentation slides from the 1980s and 1990s. Attempts to revive the original data involved going through bulk paper storage, without appropriate filing, often matching handwriting for surveys where the paper clips fell off and the pages became shuffled. Despite these efforts we could not reconstruct all of the original data (due to one missing container with almost all DZ
male respondents). The female sample was almost entirely reconstructed and is being processed now in hopes of future studies.

The availability of the registries also led to multiple international collaborations including a study with the Hamburg Genetic Institute on alcohol consumption, sensitivity and metabolism (Agarwal et al. 1997), a melanoma prevention study in collaboration of the Hamburg Dermatology Clinic looking at naevi (Roser et al. 1993; Weichenthal et al. 1994; Roser et al. 1996; Roser et al. 1993), and the already cited study on adult lactose intolerance in collaboration with the World Health Organization and the Hannover Human Genetics Institute (Métneki et al. 1984; Flatz et al. 1985).

The third database, the Hungarian Congenital Abnormality Registry (HCAR), was established in the same year as the BTR (1970) and included personal and medical data of multiple births (Czeizel 1996). This population-based registry offered also a unique opportunity to study the relation of twinning and birth defects in national (Métneki 1978, Métneki and Czeizel 1987; Métneki et al. 1992; Métneki et al. 1996) and international studies (Mastroiacovo et al. et al. 1999). In a Hungarian study of conjoined twins the role of genetic factors was found to be negligible as compared to the environmental (teratogenic and maternal) effect in the etiology (Métneki and Czeizel 1989). Recently, HCAR took part in a multicenter worldwide collaborative epidemiological study of the International Clearinghouse for Birth Defects Surveillance and Research including 21 Clearinghouse Surveillance Programs related to conjoined twins (Mutchinick et al. 2011). The recent international registry-based study in collaboration with 14 European countries 1984-2007 organized by European Surveillance of Congenital Abnormalities outlined the long-term consequences of the increasing prevalence of multiple births observed in the last two decades (Boyle et al. 2013).
1.3. Respiratory system

1.3.1. Structure of the respiratory system

The respiratory system is a biological system that introduces respiratory gases to the interior and performs gas exchange, its anatomical features basically include airways, lungs, and the respiratory muscles (Anthea et al. 2010). The human lung contains approximately 300 million alveoli. Nearly 23 generations of branches are present from the trachea to the alveoli (Anthea et al. 2010). Molecules of oxygen and carbon dioxide are passively exchanged (by diffusion) in the alveolar region (Maton et al. 2010). The primary lobule is smallest functional unit of the lung, an adult has approximately 23 millions of it (Müller et al. 2001). The primary lobule comprises all the structures distal to the respiratory bronchiole including 16-40 alveoli (Müller et al. 2001). An acinus contains of approximately 10-20 primary lobules, these structures are located distally to the terminal bronchiole (Müller et al. 2001). The principal physiological functional unit of the lung is the secondary pulmonary lobule, which is the smallest structural unit of lung parenchyma (Miller 1947). The secondary lobule is surrounded by a connective tissue septum and contains 3-12 acini and measures 1-2.5 cm in diameter (Miller 1947).

Following the segmental, subsegmental brochi, bronchi and bronchioli, the lobular bronchioles enter the core of the secondary pulmonary lobule and divide into a number of terminal bronchioles according to the size of the lobule (Boyden 1971). (Figure 1.)
Figure 1. The structure of tracheobronchial tree.
(Based on: Verschakelen 2006, page 4.)

As the circulation of the human lung is concerned, the arteries accompany the airways, and a corresponding artery belongs to each airway branch (Elliott 1965) (Figure 2.). The dual blood supply of the lung allows a partial communication between the pulmonary and the bronchial arterial systems. The paired bronchial arteries, which arise from the descending aorta, accompany the bronchi and creates an anastomosis between the two vascular networks in the perialveolar capillary system (Lange 2007).

Figure 2. The structure of the blood vessels of the lung.
(Based on: Verschakelen 2006, page 5.)
The vessels accompanying the bronchi have elastic characteristics due to their well-developed elastic laminae (Figure 3.). The vessels accompanying the bronchioles down to the level of the terminal bronchioles are muscular arteries (they contain fewer elastic laminae (Verschakelen 2006). More distally, the pulmonary arterioles have only a single elastic lamina after losing their muscular layer (Verschakelen 2006). The alveoli are surrounded by small capillary network which is drained by the pulmonary venules which merge at the periphery of the secondary pulmonary lobule (Weibel 1979).

Figure 3. The structure of a secondary lobule (centrilobular artery: blue; vein: red; lymphatics: yellow).
(Based on: The Radiology Assistant. HRCT part I: Basic Interpretation)

The pulmonary lymphatic system is located in the peribronchiolar and the perivascular spaces, the interlobular septa and the pleural network (Weibel 1979; Fishman and Renkin 1979; Verschakelen 2006).
1.3.2. Assessment of function of the respiratory system: respiratory function tests

The function of respiratory system can be variously tested. Pulmonary function tests are the most common in clinical use. Spirometry is an important tool in the assessment of asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and pulmonary fibrosis by giving valuable information about the lung's integrated mechanical function, chest wall and respiratory muscles by measuring the total volume of air exhaled from a full lung (total lung capacity [TLC]) to an empty lung (residual volume) (Miller et al. 2005). Spirometry is a dynamic maneuver of taking in a maximal deep breath and exhaling it as hard, as fast and as long as flow can be maintained, at least 6 seconds (Miller et al. 2005). During the forced vital capacity (FVC) manoeuvre the patient should inhale rapidly and completely from functional residual capacity (FRC). The breathing tube should be inserted into the subject’s mouth, making sure the lips are sealed around the mouthpiece and that the tongue does not occlude it, and then the FVC manoeuvre should be begun with minimal hesitation (Miller et al. 2005).

Preferably patients should be avoided prior to lung function testing from smoking within at least 1 hour, consuming alcohol within 4 hours, performing vigorous exercise within 30 minutes, loosen tight-fitting clothing, eating a large meal within 2 hours (Kreider and Grippi 2007).

Pulmonary function tests provide several parameters for the examiner. The most common ones are vital capacity (VC), FVC, forced expiratory volume (FEV) at 1 second (FEV₁), forced expiratory flow 25–75% (FEF 25–75) and maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV) (Encyclopedia of Surgery). Spirometry results are given in raw data (liters, liters per second) and percent (%) predicted, which means the result as a percent of the predicted values of similar characteristic (age, sex, height, weight) patients (Encyclopedia of Surgery). The most important pulmonary function parameters are summarized in Table 1.

Bronchodilator can be also used in certain cases. By comparing the pre- and post-spirometry-graphs, the effectiveness of the bronchodilator can be assessed (Eigen et al. 2001).
Table 1. Most important pulmonary function variables
(Source: Lung function — Practice compendium, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Brief explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁)**      | • maximal amount of air that is blown out in the first second after maximal deep inhalation  
• age, sex, height, weight and ethnicity dependent  
• normal values (adults): between 80-120%  
• reduction of FEV₁ reflects the impairment in the maximum inflation of the lungs, obstruction of the airways (most common - secondary to bronchospasm, airway inflammation, loss of lung elastic recoil, increased secretions in the airway or any combination of these causes), or respiratory muscle weakness |
| **Forced vital capacity (FVC)**                        | • volume of air expired after a maximal inhalation and then a maximal forced exhalation of 6 seconds duration or greater, expressed in liters at body temperature and ambient pressure saturated with water vapour |
| **FEV₁/FVC ratio**                                     | • marker of the level of airflow obstruction  
• normal values (adults): 75–80%.  
• in case of COPD, the FEV₁/FVC ratio is less than 70% of that predicted after administration of a short-acting bronchodilator (beta-2 agonist) due to the increased airway resistance to expiratory flow  
• in restrictive diseases (eg., pulmonary fibrosis), the FEV₁/FVC ratio may be normal or increased as a result of decreased lung compliance |
Table 1. (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Brief explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vital capacity (VC)                    | • characterizes the volume change at the mouth between full inspiration and complete expiration  
• Two forms:  
  o expiratory vital capacity (EVC) is the maximal volume of air exhaled from the point of maximal inhalation  
  o inspiratory vital capacity (IVC) shows the maximal volume of air inhaled from maximal exhalation |
| Total lung capacity (TLC)              | • the maximum volume of air present in the lungs normally                           |
| Diffusing capacity (DLCO)              | • the carbon monoxide uptake from a single inspiration in a standard time (usually 10 sec) |
| Maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV)    | • the maximum amount of air that can be inhaled and exhaled over a specified period of time (12 sec for normal subjects) |
| Tidal volume (TV)                      | • the amount of air inhaled and exhaled during rest                                 |
| Forced expiratory flow (FEF) 25-75%    | • the mean forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of the FVC  
• the maximum mid-expiratory flow     |
| Forced inspiratory flow (FIF) 25–75% or 25–50% | • the speed of air coming out of the lung during the middle portion of inspiration |
| Peak expiratory flow (PEF)             | • the maximal speed reached achieved from the maximally forced expiration initiated at full inspiration  
• usually obtained from flow–volume curve data |
| Forced Expiratory Time (FET)           | • the length of the maximal expiration in seconds from a position of full inspiration |

The most common indications of spirometry are the following: asthma, obstructive lung diseases, restrictive lung diseases, dyspnea, assessment of baseline lung function, measurement of bronchial responsiveness, distinction of respiratory from cardiac disease, evaluation of pre-operative risk (for example before anaesthesia or surgery) and monitoring response to treatment (Pierce 2005) (Table 2.).
### Table 2. Indications for spirometry according to ERS Guidelines 2005

(Source: Miller et al. 2005)

| Diagnostic                          | To evaluate symptoms, signs or abnormal laboratory tests  
|                                   | To measure the effect of disease on pulmonary function  
|                                   | To screen individuals at risk of having pulmonary disease  
|                                   | To assess pre-operative risk  
|                                   | To assess prognosis  
|                                   | To assess health status before beginning strenuous physical activity programmes  
| Monitoring                         | To assess therapeutic intervention  
|                                   | To describe the course of diseases that affect lung function  
|                                   | To monitor people exposed to injurious agents  
|                                   | To monitor for adverse reactions to drugs with known pulmonary toxicity  
| Disability/impairment evaluations | To assess patients as part of a rehabilitation programme  
|                                   | To assess risks as part of an insurance evaluation  
|                                   | To assess individuals for legal reasons  
| Public health                      | Epidemiological surveys  
|                                   | Derivation of reference equations  
|                                   | Clinical research  

The bronchial response can be examined via spirometry using different methods. Post bronchodilator test: after a “normal” spirometry test, bronchodilator can be administered for another spirometry to compare the results (before and after bronchodilator) (Pierce 2005). By the bronchial challenge test, asthma versus COPD can be distinguished and bronchial hyperresponsiveness can be assessed (Pierce 2005). Inhalation of methacholine, histamine or cold air, and doing rigorous exercise can be applied (Pierce 2005).
1.4. Past studies on the heritability of lung function

Heritability of lung function has been investigated in twin and family studies with various results. In one of the first family study, the level of pulmonary function was measured (FEV<sub>1</sub> and FEF 25-75) in members of 404 nuclear families living in East Boston, Massachusetts in 1974 (Lewitter et al. 1984). Genetic contribution was found to be consistent through time (41-47%) for parents and their children (Lewitter et al. 1984). Common familial environmental effects on level of pulmonary function explained 1-4% of the variability in children and 11-28% in adults (Lewitter et al. 1984). Another previous twin study estimated genetic control of pulmonary function involving 74 twin pairs (Ghio et al. 1989). Heritability of FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC was found to be significantly heritable ranging between 33-35% (Ghio et al. 1989).

In most studies, the heritability of FEV<sub>1</sub> ranged between 10% and 77% and one of the FVC ranged between 26% and 91% (Ingebrigtsen et al. 2011.; Hubert et al. 1982; McClearn et al. 1994; Hukkinen et al. 2011; Devor and Crawford 1984). Environmental factors could explain a modest part of the variance in most of the studies (Ingebrigtsen et al. 2011.; Hubert et al. 1982; McClearn et al. 1994; Hukkinen et al. 2011; Devor and Crawford 1984). An Indian twin study reported that all lung function variables are highly heritable (23%-99%) except for TV and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) (Chatterjee and Das 1995). In contrary, a study of Russian twins certified that shared environmental effects account for the majority (47%) of the variance in FEV instead of genetic factors (Whitfield et al. 1999). Lung function depends also on gender and age (Hallberg et al. 2010; McClearn et al. 1994). A longitudinal study of twins found that the heritability of FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC among never smoking female twins do not change remarkably (32% and 36% for FEV<sub>1</sub>, 41% and 37% for FVC at baseline and at 3-year follow-up, respectively) (Hukkinen et al. 2011). Genetic factors seem to modestly contribute to lung function variance as demonstrated in a longitudinal study (Gottlieb et al. 2001).

Beyond the “classical” ACE analysis, gene-environment interaction can be also studied in a large sample of twins. A recent Swedish study investigated the genetic and environmental influences on lung function impairment in twins, suggesting that patients with lung diseases such as COPD could benefit from interventions that are sex specific
(Hallberg et al. 2010). In this study, the fully adjusted heritability for VC was 59% and did not differ by sex (Hallberg et al. 2010). Heritabilities for FEV₁ and diffusing capacity were sex specific: 10% and 15% in men and 46% and 39% in women (Hallberg et al. 2010). Differences between men and women were found in how smoking and symptoms influence the variation in lung function (Hallberg et al. 2010). Another study tried to find an answer why the effect of cigarette smoking on pulmonary function shows a high inter-individual variancy (some heavy smokers retain normal pulmonary function and others experience profound pulmonary function loss). The authors reported that the heritability of FEV₁ was not dependent on smoking status even MZ twins presented little, no or remarkable differences in cigarette use (Tishler et al. 2002). Of note, a recent twin study reported that the A allele of the leptin 19G>A SNP is related to a lower FEV₁ and FVC, so the leptin may be important in the determination of maximally attainable lung function (van den Borst et al. 2012).

Twin and family studies lead to genome-wide association studies which can further investigate a genotype if high heritability is present. A such scan of pulmonary function measures (FEV₁, FVC, and FEV₁/FVC ratio) as part of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Family Heart Study found an evidence for three chromosomal loci influencing variability in spirometric measures of pulmonary function (Wilk et al. 2003). The FEV₁/FVC ratio was linked to chromosome 4 around 28 centimorgans (cM; D4S1511), FEV₁ and FVC were suggestively linked to regions on chromosome 18 (Wilk et al. 2003).

1.5. Relationship of lung function and cardiovascular diseases

The relationship between impaired lung function and atherosclerosis, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality has been poorly investigated (Higgins and Keller 1970; Tockman et al. 1995). An American cohort study determined whether the rate of FEV₁ loss independently predicts coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality in apparently healthy men (Tockman et al. 1995). Generally, cardiac mortality increased with increasing quintile of FEV₁ decline. CHD mortality follows a large decline in FEV₁, independent of the initial FEV₁% predicted, cigarette smoking, and other common CHD risk factors (Tockman et al. 1995).
Reduced pulmonary function (mostly FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC) is associated with increased incidences of cardiovascular disease and death (Mendall et al. 2000). Elevated plasma levels of inflammatory markers may partially explain the increased cardiovascular risk among men with low FVC (Mendall et al. 2000). Decreased pulmonary function has been associated with increased levels of C-reactive protein, fibrinogen and white blood cells in some previous papers (Mendall et al. 2000; Dahl et al. 2001; James et al. 1999). Relationship with inflammation-sensitive plasma protein (ISP) levels contributes to the increased risk among men with low FVC (Engström et al. 2002) (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Cardiac event rates among men with FVC in highest (Q1) and lowest (Q4) quartile and with 0 to 1 (ISP\textsuperscript{−}) or 2 to 5 (ISP\textsuperscript{+}) inflammation-sensitive plasma proteins (ISPs) in top quartile based on the study of Engström and coworkers. The occurrence of high ISP levels increased the risk significantly among men with low FVC. The differences between groups increased over the entire follow-up period. The results were similar in smokers and nonsmokers. RR indicates relative risk.

(Source: Engström et al. 2002)

Obviously, smoking contributes to the association between pulmonary function and coronary heart disease as well (Marcus et al. 1989).
Impaired lung function is a major clinical indicator of mortality risk in men and women for a wide range of diseases (Hole et al. 1996). In a prospective general population study assessed the relation between FEV\textsubscript{1} and subsequent mortality (Hole et al. 1996). Diminished FEV\textsubscript{1} means an increasing risk for both sexes for all the causes of death examined after adjustment for age, cigarette smoking, diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol concentration, body mass index, and social class (Hole et al. 1996).

There are only few previous studies on arterial aging and physical functioning. A British research group analyzed associations of arterial stiffness with age, subjective and objective measures of physical functioning, and self-reported functional limitation (Brunner et al. 2011). Their result showed that pulse pressure and mean arterial pressure is linked inversely only with lung function (Brunner et al. 2011). Higher arterial stiffness was associated with poorer lung function adjusted for age, sex, and ethnic group (Brunner et al. 2011). French epidemiological data showed that, even in healthy men, there is a relation between pulmonary function and arterial stiffness. The study reported that reduced pulmonary function was strongly associated with aortic stiffness: FEV\textsubscript{1}, FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio were all related to pulse wave velocity (PWV), suggesting that both pulmonary obstructive and restrictive disorders may be implicated in these associations (Zureik et al. 2001). The possible explanations of this finding may be the highly vascular nature of the lung and the anatomic coupling of vascular and parenchymal elements and loss of elasticity of the pulmonary vascular tree, respectively (Enright et al. 1995).

Another possible explanation is that inflammatory mechanisms act as a contributing factor to both vascular stiffness and reduced lung function (Rijken et al. 1995). Other study underlined the importance of immune complexes and abnormal inflammatory responses (e.g., C-reactive protein) which have been implicated in arterial injury. These factors could lead to vascular changes and modification of stiffness (Selzer et al. 2001). However, no study has ever investigated the genetic influence on the lung function-arterial stiffness relationship.
1.6. A novel cardiovascular phenotype: arterial stiffness

Arterial stiffness is a predictor of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in hypertensive patients and end-stage renal disease (Laurent et al. 2001; Blacher et al. 1999). Arterial stiffness is a dynamic property, which is determined both by vascular function like vascular smooth muscle tone and by the structure of the vessel wall like elastin/collagen content (Van Bortel et al. 2002). Endothelial dysfunction is a marker of increased cardiovascular risk (Kuvin et al. 2001). A large brachial pulse pressure is independently associated with morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular and coronary heart disease (Benetos et al. 1997; Madhavan et al. 1994). Increased arterial stiffness is associated with several risk factors of cardiovascular diseases, such as elevated level of blood glucose, hypertension, obesity, and smoking (Koivistoinen et al. 2007; Liao et al. 1999; Safar et al. 2006).

Arterial stiffness, characterized by PWV and some limited extent: augmentation index augmentation index (AIx), can be estimated non-invasively and has an independent predictive value for cardiovascular events (Laurent et al. 2006). The AIx is given by the ratio of the augmentation pressure and the pulse pressure (PP), being used ever more often in studies as parameters of wave reflection and peripheral vascular resistance (Snieder et al. 2000, Williams et al. 2006; Baulmann et al. 2004). PWV, the most important measure of arterial stiffness, is characterized by the distance traveled (s) by the wave divided by the time (t) for the wave to travel that distance (Snieder et al. 2000).

Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity reflects the stiffness of both central and peripheral muscular arteries, thus PWV can be used as a simple index for assessing arterial stiffness and atherosclerosis (Safar and O'Rourke 2009; Sugawara et al. 2005). PWV can be also measured regionally and locally. The Moens-Korteweg equation directly relates PWV and incremental elastic modulus (McDonald et al. 1998). Bramwell-Hill is an alternate method of measuring PWV, where arterial diameter, compliance, and blood density are taken into account (Bramwell and Hill, 1922). Aortic stiffness has also recently emerged as a strong, independent predictor of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in patients with essential hypertension (Laurent et al. 2001; Boutouyrie et al. 2002).
1.7. Secondhand smoke exposure and its health related effects

1.7.1. Air pollution and secondhand smoke exposure

Secondhand smoke (SHS) is a complex mixture of the gases and particles given off by the burning end of a cigarette, pipe or cigar, and the smoke exhaled from the lungs of smokers. Particles emitted from burning cigarettes are in the fine to ultrafine particle size range (0.02 µm–2 µm) and have been shown to be inhaled deep into the lungs and to cause an array of adverse health effects (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans 2002, Klepeis et al. 2003; US Department of Health and Human Services 2006) including cancer, heart attacks and asthma (Zhu et al. 2003; Moffatt et al. 2004; Eisner et al. 2005; International Agency for Research on Cancer 2002). PM$_{2.5}$ particles are air pollutants with a diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less, small enough to invade even the smallest airways and can be measured in micrograms per cubic meter.

SHS is a major public health problem due to its well known adverse health effects (Flouris et al./1 2008; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2006). SHS exposure is known as a cause of asthma exacerbation, otitis media, sudden infant death syndrome, vascular dysfunction, and predisposition toward cardiovascular disease and cancer among children and adults as well (Eisner et al. 2002; Eisner et al. 2005).

To protect the public’s health government health authorities have recommended that indoor smoking be prohibited. Indoor smoking has been found to be a major source of indoor air pollution. The World Health Organization (WHO) has established air quality standards and an air quality guideline (AQG) (World Health Organization 2006). The AQG is a measure for reducing the health impacts of air pollution. An annual average concentration of 10 µg/m$^3$ was chosen as the long-term guideline value for PM$_{2.5}$. This represents the lower end of the range over which significant effects on survival were observed in the American Cancer Society’s study (Pope et al. 2002). According to the AQG, an annual mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of 35 µg/m$^3$ or higher is
associated with 15% higher long-term mortality risk (World Health Organization 2006). The WHO’s target air quality guidelines for PM$_{2.5}$ are much lower, with an average annual mean of 10 μg/m$^3$ and a 24 hour mean of 25 μg/m$^3$. As shown in Table 3, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set limits of 15 μg/m$^3$ as the average annual level of indoor PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and 35 μg/m$^3$ as an acceptable mean exposure over 24 hours (World Health Organization 2006).

Table 3. US EPA Air Quality Index (AQI)
(Source: World Health Organization 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Quality</th>
<th>Air Quality Index</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$ level (μg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Health Advisory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>≤15</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>16-40</td>
<td>Unusually sensitive people should consider reducing prolonged or heavy exertion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhealthy for sensitive groups</td>
<td>101-150</td>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>People with heart or lung disease, older adults, and children should reduce prolonged or heavy exertion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unhealthy</td>
<td>151-200</td>
<td>66-150</td>
<td>People with heart or lung disease, older adults, and children should avoid prolonged or heavy exertion. Everyone else should reduce prolonged or heavy exertion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very unhealthy</td>
<td>201-300</td>
<td>151-250</td>
<td>People with heart or lung disease, older adults, and children should avoid all physical activity outdoors. Everyone else should avoid prolonged or heavy exertion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous</td>
<td>≥301</td>
<td>≥251</td>
<td>People with heart or lung disease, older adults, and children should remain indoors and keep activity levels low. Everyone else should avoid all physical activity outdoors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Air pollution can come from many sources, but studies show that indoors the number one source of exposure to small particles comes from tobacco smoke. The exposure from tobacco smoke is also dangerous because the particles themselves are made up of hazardous (know cancer causing chemicals). The dose someone can get from an exposure to tobacco smoke pollution is influenced by many factors including the amount of smoking, size of the indoor environment and ventilation characteristics of the environment (Figures 5-6.). The effect of exposure is influenced by the individuals host characteristics such as pre-existing health conditions, age, and biology (genetics).

**Figure 5. Conceptual Model Examining Air Pollution and Public Health.**
(Courtesy of K. Michael Cummings, Mark Travers and Andrew Hyland, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, USA)
Figure 6. Computation how a pollution source translates to an exposure and eventual harm to human health. Studies exist to provide evidence of how tobacco smoke can eventually cause harm in humans. (Courtesy of K. Michael Cummings, Mark Travers and Andrew Hyland, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, USA)

In 2006, the 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ standard was lowered (65 to 35 μg/m$^3$) because mounting evidence has established that short-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ can result in numerous health effects including increased mortality (US Environmental Protection Agency 2009). Flash Eurobarometer found that 36% of Hungarians smoke (Hungarian Ministry of Health 2009), a rate similar to that of surrounding countries. A recent WHO survey reported that 84% of Hungarians are being exposed to tobacco smoke in their homes, and 93% report being exposed to smoke outside their homes (World Health Organization 2008).

In 2000, there were around 2.6 million smokers in Hungary, among adults above 18 years of age, 38.3% of men and 23% of women smoked every day. According to the Hungarostudy, the smoking prevalence in Hungary among men was 34.9% in 2002 and 33.9% in 2005 (Susanszky et al. 2007). The costs of harmful effects of smoking and lost income in Hungary in 2004 came to between 315 and 330 thousand million Hungarian
Forints (Barta et al. 2006). Non-smokers who are exposed to SHS at home or at work increase their lung cancer risk by 20–30%.

Between 2005 and 2012, cigarette smoking has been prohibited in most of health care facilities in Hungary (World Health Organization 2008) (Health Law 1999, XLII. and Health Law 2005, CLXXXI. 36§), which was aggravated in 2012 (Health Law 2012). In our air monitoring study, the levels of indoor fine particle air pollution measured in public locations in Hungary where smoking was observed were times higher than the levels in locations where smoking was not observed and in nearly all instances exceeded the levels that the World Health Organization and US Environmental Protection Agency have concluded are harmful to human health (Tárnoki et al. 2009, Tárnoki et al. 2010). Fortunately, having taken into account our results as well, a smokefree law was passed in Hungary on April 27, 2011, which made all Hungarian indoor public places smokefree; including closed public places, workplaces and public transport vehicles. The law took effect on January 1, 2012, with a three months grace period before enforcement began. This decision is one of the most effective measures to decrease smoking-related morbidity and mortality. According to the estimations, 1700 deaths will be postponed and 16000 life years will be saved annually in Hungary thanks to the regulation (Adám et al. 2013).

1.7.2. Cardiovascular effects of secondhand smoke exposure

For many years scientists found the link between smoking and heart disease, that active smoking causes heart disease (US Public Health Service 1983).

In this context, smoking was found to kill more people by causing or aggravating heart disease than lung cancer. Later scientists realized the importance of SHS, the exposure to environmental tobacco smoke has been linked to heart disease in nonsmokers few years later (Wells 1988; Kristensen 1989).

Young smokers under 40 years have five times more risk to have a heart attack (Mähönen et al. 2004). The major risk factors for heart disease are smoking, diabetes, total cholesterol concentration, high blood pressure, obesity, left ventricular hypertrophy, increased C-reactive protein and family history of heart disease (Wilson et al. 1998).
Studies have shown that several physiological changes involving potential mechanisms of smoking-induced cardiovascular disease can be observed in cigarette smokers compared with nonsmokers who have not been exposed to secondhand smoke (Hatsukami et al. 2006). In the early nineties, animal studies found that even 5 minute of SHS exposure to the smoke of one cigarette elicits the adhesion of leucocytes to endothelial cells (Lehr et al. 1991). SHS exposure may reduce the distensibility of the aorta (Stefanadis et al. 1998.), has inhibitory effects on endothelium-dependent vasodilatation (Celermajer et al. 1996) and may turn the acetylcholine-induced coronary artery relaxation into a vasoconstriction (Sumida et al. 1998).

SHS causes injury in the vascular endothelium and interferes with the vascular repair system (Heiss et al. 2008), moreover activates blood platelets by increasing the risk for thromboembolic diseases (Elwood et al. 1991; Raupach et al. 2006). Accordingly, SHS yields to endothelial damage which results atherosclerotic plaque formation and progression, even plaque rupture via decreased vessel dilation, increased vessel contraction, prothrombotic and proinflammatory levels, impaired NO-mediated endothelial function and cell proliferation in the arterial wall (Widlansky et al. 2003). This mechanism (endothelial dysfunction) leads to impaired arterial stiffness (Mahmud and Feely 2003).

Mahmud et al. reported increasement of aortic arterial stiffness among healthy male persons who breathed SHS from 15 cigarettes in an unventilated room for one hour (Mahmud and Feely 2004). In these subjects, the augmentation index (sign of arterial wave reflection) increased by 15.7% (Mahmud and Feely 2004). A previous study showed an association between SHS and increased carotid intimal thickness as well (Howard et al. 1994).

Passive smoking leads to lower levels of HDL in adults (Mizoue et al. 1999; Moffatt et al. 1995) and to an increase insulin resistance (Henkin et al. 1999).

SHS exposure changes the systolic blood pressure (Flouris et al./2 2008; Mahmud and Feely 2004; Sidorkewicz et al. 2006) and cardiac autonomic function (Pope et al. 2001). The risk of coronary heart disease increases significantly by the level of secondhand smoke exposure. For example, nonsmokers who were exposed to 1 to 19 cigarettes per day and to 20 or more cigarettes per day had higher risk of coronary heart disease (He et al. 1999).
The cardiovascular effects of SHS exposure are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Effects of SHS on the cardiovascular system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects of SHS on the cardiovascular system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Endothelial dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inflammation and infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Platelet activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased oxidative stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Atherosclerosis (low HDL levels, plaque instability, increased oxidized LDL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Decreased energy metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased insulin resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased infarct size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Decreased heart rate variability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased arterial stiffness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased risk of coronary disease events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7.3. Psychosocial (family) aspects of tobacco smoking

There is an increasing evidence that socio-economic status of the family effects smoking habits (Tot et al. 2004).

Epidemiological studies found association between cigarette smoking and psychiatric disorders in context with adolescents’ regular smoking, such as conduct disorders, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, internalizing disorders (depression and anxiety) and aggression (Liu 2003; Patton et al. 1998; Kollins et al. 2005; Lerman et al. 1996; Sonntag et al. 2000). Social and commercial tobacco sources play significant role in youth smoking (Johnston et al. 2004).

The most common known psychologic aspect of cigarette smoking is stress, however, the stress levels of adult smokers are slightly higher than nonsmokers. Smoking has apparent relaxant effect due to the nicotine (Parrott 1999). Psychological stress influences the development of substance dependence, including tobacco dependence (Sinha 2008). The acute effects of the nicotine includes the activation of stress systems and prolongation of physiological stress responses (Fuxe et al. 1989;
Pomerleau et al. 1984), moreover stress drives smoking. Its negative effects (smokers experience nicotine deprivation) can be observed between smoking episodes, which motivates smoking through the deprivation reversal (Schachter 1978; Silverstein et al. 1982) and stress induction (Parrott 1999). Interestingly, stress may influence and increase smoking by altering the effects of nicotine, thus smokers smoke more after stress to compensate for attenuated effects (Buchmann et al. 2008).

An Australian study certified that social stream (family and peer networks) play a central role in smoking initiation, progression and youth smoking behaviour (Johnston et al. 2012). A Turkish study found high degree of violent behaviour among smoker school students against friends and family members with a male predominancy (Özge et al. 2006). They published a negative effect of smoking on social relationships, academic performances and suicide attempt or behaviour (Özge et al. 2006). Smoking of the only sibling has an important effect on lifetime smoking, furthermore, both parents and sibling smoking have important effects on current smoking of students (Özge et al. 2006).

Education level of the parents influences the children's smoking behaviour rather than ethnicity (Kegler and Malcoe 2005). More permissive parent's children are more likely to smoke, compared with children whose parents have a more „authoritative” (Jackson et al. 1998; Radziszewska et al. 1996). Previous epidemiological studies confirmed the hypothesis that anti-smoking socialisation is protective against youth smoking (Mahabee-Gittens et al. 2012; Waa et al. 2011). Parental influences are important for initiation and escalation of smoking (Bricker et al. 2007), during school years peer group behaviours influence smoking initiation and progression as well (West et al. 1999). Gender differences were also shown in the perceptions and reported experiences of smoking in a previously published study. Female participants were more strongly influenced by peer smoking compared the boys (Simons-Morton and Farhat 2010).

However it is clear that more disorders develop in children who were exposed to environmental tobacco smoke, and postnatal tobacco smoke exposure may cause behavioral problems in children as well (Maytin et al. 1991).

A Greek nation-wide school-based study investigated the relationship between cigarette smoking status and adolescents’ emotional/behavioural problems. An
association between smoking and higher levels of emotional/behavioural problems, such as emotional symptoms, conduct problems and hyperactivity/inattention was reported (Giannakopoulos et al. 2010). This study underlined the importance of effective antismoking strategies in school environment and elsewhere with addressing adolescents’ needs regarding their emotional/behavioural health. Smokers have higher chance to divorce comparing nonsmokers (Bachman et al. 1997; Doherty and Doherty 1998).

There is a positive relationship between psychological distress and salivary cotinine levels in smokers and non-smokers, indicating that both firsthand and secondhand smoke exposure may lead to higher levels of mental stress which effects the psychosocial environment (Hamer et al. 2010).

Several twin studies investigated the possible role of genetic factors on nicotine dependence and withdrawal. Nicotine dependence for cigarette smoking or snus use has a moderate genetic determination (30-39%) which is weakly associated with intelligence quotient genetically (Modig et al. 2011; Broms et al. 2007). In addition, nicotine withdrawal symptoms were reported to be moderately heritable (49%) in adult and adolescent smokers (Pergadia et al. 2010), similarly to smoking withdrawal (Carmelli et al. 1992). Heritability of age at first cigarette was 60% for males and 39% for females in a Danish twin study (Vink et al. 2006). D1A dopamine receptor gene is supposed to be responsible for smoking behavior (Vink et al. 2006).
2. Objectives

Since twin studies reveal the proportion of genetic and environmental contribution of a trait, and how the two interact, this model can be applied in a respiratory setting as well. Furthermore, studying twins helps to draw conclusions concerning psychosocial aspects.

Our aims can be summarized in the following points:

1. To establish the Hungarian twin registry and describe the characteristics of the voluntary twin sample whose individuals will be involved in respiratory twin studies.

2. To assess the heritability of lung function, phenotypic correlations between pulmonary function (FEV₁, FVC) and hemodynamic variables, furthermore, to determine whether there is a shared genetic relation between lung function and arterial stiffness. We hypothesized that there is a common genetic background between lung function and arterial stiffness.

3. Third, we were specially interested how secondhand smoke exposure effect monozygotic and dizygotic twins in various indoor public places. Even if the heritability of smoking characteristics is well described, to date, there is no information regarding smoking and secondhand smoke characteristics of twins and its psychosocial aspect. Therefore, the last purpose of the investigation was to assess the smoking habits and sensibility to SHS exposure of monozygotic and dizygotic twins comprehensively in a relatively large twin cohort.
3. Methods

3.1. Subjects of the twin studies

In 2006, we began an effort to revive the Hungarian Twin Registry with Levente Littvay. This effort benefited greatly from the help of Júlia Métneki, the person responsible for most of Hungarian twin studies and the management of two twin registries from the 1970s to the 1990s. Levente Littvay could get in touch with Júlia Métneki by the encouragement of Nicholas Martin and continue the work she started in the 1970s. A Hungarian Twin Club was founded in the early 1980s and since then twin meetings are common in the country. Annual meetings are held in Szigethalom (13th annual national meeting in 2012), Ágfalva (6th annual international meeting in 2012) and Kunhegyes (9th biannual meeting in 2012). The old volunteer registry and these meetings are at the foundation of the new volunteer twin registry. Additionally, we are augmenting this list with social media presence, a continuous push in the more traditional media, and via the website (http://www.ikrek.com). In the respiratory twin studies, 151 monozygotic and 62 dizygotic healthy adult twin pairs were involved in Hungary and in the United States.

3.2. Study design

Twin subjects were recruited as part of the International Twin Study 2009 project. Twins above the age of 18 years were invited to participate. Exclusion criteria included chronic respiratory disease, pregnancy, arrhythmia, acute infection within three weeks of measurement or foreseeable lack of compliance with test procedures and race other than white (to exclude the influence of ethnicity). Zygosity was assigned according to a seven-part self-reported response which is widely used and accepted worldwide with an over 99% accuracy (Heath et al. 2003). Studies were approved by local ethical committees (IRB committee names and project approval numbers: Semmelweis University Regional and Institutional Committee of Science and Research Ethics, TUKEB, 29/2009; Twins Days Festival Ethical Board, 1/2009) and all study subjects gave informed consent prior to entering the study.
Hungarian subjects were enrolled from our Hungarian Twin Registry (Littvay et al. 2012). Twins were investigated at Hungarian twin festivals (Ágfalva and Szigethalom) and in two large hospitals in Budapest (Semmelweis University Department of Radiology and Oncotherapy; Military Hospital Department of Cardiology) between July, 2009 and June, 2010.

American twins were tested during two days of the Twins Day Festival in Twinsburg, OH, USA which is the largest twin gathering in the world, in August, 2009.

Lung function and hemodynamic measurements were facilitated by the author and the author’s twin brother (DLT and ADT) at all research sites in order to decrease inter-observer variability and in accordance with guidelines recommended by the European Society of Cardiology (Laurent et al. 2006). Subjects completed a questionnaire separately of each other on the spot concerning smoking and SHS exposure characteristics. Presence of risk factors, medication, past medical history and clinical symptoms were all recorded by the attending physicians on-site.

3.3. Pulmonary function assessment

Lung function was assessed by dynamic spirometry (Minispir Waukesha, WI, USA) (Figure 7.). The spirometer was calibrated daily using a 1 L-syringe. The maneuvers were performed standing while wearing nose clips. The largest FEV₁ and the largest FVC from all acceptable maneuvers were used in this analysis. FVC and FEV₁ measurements were performed in accordance with guidelines recommended by the American Thoracic Society (Anonymous 2005). Lung function variables were expressed in absolute (measured) values and as percentage of predicted (based on the subject’s age, height, sex, country), using the reference values recommended by the ERS and ECCS93 reference equation values (Pellegrino et al. 2005; Quanjer et al. 1993). Percentage of predicted values are widely used in lung function laboratories to help determine if an individual's lung function is within normal limits.
3.4. Hemodynamic measurement

Aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) - a measure of arterial stiffness - and brachial and aortic augmentation indices (AIX) - measure of arterial wave reflection - was assessed by a clinically validated oscillometric device (TensioMed Arteriograph, TensioMed Ltd., Hungary, 1.10.1.1. software). This method enables the calculation of these parameters from oscillometrically recorded pressure waves on the brachial artery (pulse wave analysis) (Baulmann et al. 2008; Horváth et al. 2010) (Figure 8.). Using inflatable upper arm cuffs with high fidelity sensors, pulsatile volume changes (resulting from pulsatile fluctuations of the brachial artery) are transduced into pressure curves. Pulse waves are recorded at the proximal occlusion site of the cuff whose pressure is 35-40 mmHg above the systolic BP („suprasystolic pressure”). Computer programs are used to further analyze the recorded pulse waves. Oscillometry method is based on the fact that the forward traveling pulse wave (generated by the ejection of the left ventricle) is reflected in the periphery by creation of a second reflected wave (Qasem and Avolio 2008). Pulse transit time refers to the time it takes a pulse wave to travel between two arterial sites. Accordingly, pulse transit time is determined from the time delay between the forward and the reflected pressure wave.
Aortic PWV, a direct marker of arterial stiffness can be automatically calculated from transit time and traveling distance between jugulum (sternal notch) and symphysis pubica according to the following formula: \( \text{PWV}_{ao} = \frac{\text{distance (m)}}{\text{transit time (sec)}} \) (Williams 2004; O’Rourke et al. 2004). PWV was determined for several (at least 3) cardiac cycles. Stationarity of the subsequent PWV values are accepted when 3 PWV values fall within 1 SD range.

AIx, a measure of pulse wave reflection, can be calculated from brachial pressure curves in combination with automated transfer algorithms. AIx is expressed by the ratio of augmentation pressure and the pulse pressure.

In accordance with guidelines recommended by the European Society of Cardiology (Laurent et al. 2006), all subjects restricted from smoking for three hours, from eating for one hour, and from drinking alcohol or coffee for ten hours prior to measurements. Subjects were examined in supine position in the dominant arm after at least 10 min of rest. Twins were asked to refrain from speaking or moving during the measurements, and to keep their eyes closed during the test. Adherence to these restrictions was ascertained by querying the subject.

Figure 8. Hemodynamic assessment in American twins (Twinsburg, USA).
3.5. Statistical analysis

3.5.1. Data analysis

3.5.1.1. Descriptive analysis

Descriptive analysis (mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables, percentage for categorical variables) for FEV₁, FVC values, hemodynamic parameters, smoking and secondhand smoke characteristics of twins was conducted using SPSS (SPSS 17.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL). Differences between genders, zygosity and countries were calculated using independent-sample t-tests. \( p \) value <0.05 was considered significant.

3.5.1.2. Estimating genetic influences on lung function and PWV, AIx

All analyses were corrected for age, gender, country (significant effect of country, \( p<0.05 \)) and smoking (the phenotypic correlations were also calculated unadjusted to smoking). Smoking was adjusted according to two groups (never smokers and ex-smokers with a quitting period of at least one year and <5 pack year; and ex-smokers with >5 pack year and active smokers; 1 pack year is defined as smoking 1 pack of cigarettes daily for at least one year). Heritability estimates were determined based on the consideration that greater levels of MZ than DZ within pair similarity indicate a genetic influence on a phenotype, while similarity of co-twin correlations suggests that the variance is due to shared environmental factors. Three general sources of variance were calculated: (i) additive genetic factors or heritability (A) which represents the effects due to genes at multiple loci or multiple alleles at one locus; (ii) common environmental variance (C), which estimates the contribution of the common family environment of both twins (familiar socialization, diet, exposure to high levels of air pollution, parental smoking, shared womb, etc.); and (iii) nonshared environmental variance (E), which represents the effects that apply only to each individual twin and all sources of unique experiences and exposures that cause within-pair differences (e.g., discordance for smoking, differences in illnesses and occupational exposures).
Structural equation modeling was performed using the Mplus Version 6.1 weighted least squares estimation due to the categorical variable of interest (Muthén and Muthén 1998-2010). Empirical confidence intervals were calculated with Bollen-Stine Bootstrap method (Bollen and Stine, 1992). Univariate quantitative genetic modeling was performed to decompose the phenotypic variance of the considered parameters into heritability (A), shared (C), and unshared (E) environmental effects (ACE analysis). Chi-square model fit p-values are presented where the desired results show insignificant model misfit. Instead of a covariance matrix, the estimation procedure used the raw data matrix. Given the small sample size, no component was fixed to 0 in the model.

3.5.1.3. Estimating the correlation between lung function and PWV, AIx

Correlation coefficients between pulmonary function (FEV₁, FVC), aortic PWV and AIx were calculated to measure the strength and the direction of the relationship between variables.

3.5.1.4. Genetic covariance between lung function and PWV, AIx

A bivariate Cholesky decomposition was used to derive the magnitude of covariation between the investigated respiratory function and hemodynamic phenotypes (PWV, AIx) and to estimate what proportion of this correlation is attributable to common underlying genetic and environmental factors. In order to estimate the amount of overlap between genes or environment that influences the two parameters, genetic and environmental correlations between those phenotypes were calculated.
4. Results

4.1. Characteristics of the Hungarian twin registry

Currently the Hungarian Twin Registry consists of 310 twin pairs (or multiplets - 65% MZ, 15% DZ, 20% opposite-sex DZ (DZO) - 6 triplets, 1 quadruplet, 70% female, mean age 44±16 years) (Table 5.). As it is common with volunteer registries we also have a higher proportion of MZ and female twins (Lykken et al. 1978). In the current database, we have data on risk factors, diseases and surgeries in addition to the contact information (including address, telephone and email). We archived various data on past and current studies (eg., blood pressure, arterial stiffness; carotid, cervical and abdominal ultrasound; lung function; airway responsiveness; grip strength; body composition; echocardiography; venous distensibility and elasticity; several laboratory parameters; smoking, nutrition, physical and social activity data).

Zygosity is always assessed with multiple questions and latent class analysis in line with the recommendations of Heath et al. (Heath et al. 2003). Due to lack of funding, twins rarely receive any incentives for participation in studies.

Table 5. Characteristics of the Hungarian Twin Registry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total sample size</th>
<th>Age of the sample</th>
<th>Longitudinal study available</th>
<th>Major Recruitment Methods</th>
<th>Major Phenotype</th>
<th>Zygosity assessment methods</th>
<th>Biospecimens/DNA collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>310 twin pairs</td>
<td>0-88 years</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>twin meetings, website,</td>
<td>cardio-vascular</td>
<td>DNA, questionnaire,</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>media, old volunteer</td>
<td>and respiratory</td>
<td>chorionicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>registry</td>
<td>health,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>psychological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volunteers of the Hungarian Twin Registry were encouraged to participate in the respiratory measurements of the International twin study 2009.
4.2. Clinical characteristics and measures

In the analysis of smoking and SHS exposure characteristics of twins, 161 Hungarian and 50 American twin pairs (151 monozygotic and 62 dizygotic including 40 DZO pairs; mean age 43.8±16.5 years±standard deviation) were included. In the analysis of the relation of lung function and hemodynamic variables, 196 healthy Hungarian and American twin pairs (154 monozygotic and 42 dizygotic; age 43±17 years±standard deviation) were included. In general, the enrolled population included healthy twins, however, the occurrence of diabetes was 5%, hyperlipidemia was 25%, allergy was 32%, and high blood pressure was 21%.

4.2.1. Lung function study

Table 6 presents clinical characteristics of the sample by zygosity, sex and country. Opposite-sex twin pairs were excluded as their inclusion could bias the heritability estimates upward if gender-specific or X chromosome effects are present. A significant difference between males and females was observed concerning the arterial stiffness, BMI, measured FEV$_1$ and FVC (p<0.05 for all). A significant difference in the rate of current and ex-smokers was detected across countries (p<0.001 for both). Smoking years were higher in dizygotic twins than in monozygotic subjects (p<0.05). Significant differences were observed in pulmonary function parameters concerning zygosity (p<0.05) except for percent predicted FEV$_1$. Hungarian twins had significantly higher lung function values (p<0.001 for FVC and p<0.05 for FEV$_1$, respectively) compared to the Americans.
**Table 6. Clinical characteristics and measures of lung function study according to zygosity, gender and country**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monozygotic</td>
<td>Dizygotic</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects, n</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monozygotic:dizygotic, n</td>
<td>300:84</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>70:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>43±17</td>
<td>42±17*</td>
<td>48±14*</td>
<td>43±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>97.4±16.0</td>
<td>96.1±16.3*</td>
<td>100.6±14.9*</td>
<td>99.3±16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, % predicted</td>
<td>99.3±15.5</td>
<td>98.8±15.7</td>
<td>100.5±15.0</td>
<td>99.1±17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, l</td>
<td>3.0±0.8</td>
<td>2.9±0.8*</td>
<td>3.1±0.9*</td>
<td>3.8±0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, l</td>
<td>3.5±1.0</td>
<td>3.4±1.0*</td>
<td>3.8±1.1*</td>
<td>4.6±1.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial AIx, %</td>
<td>-29.6±32</td>
<td>-30.5±33</td>
<td>-26.0±29</td>
<td>-43.3±26†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central AIx, %</td>
<td>22.5±16</td>
<td>22.0±16</td>
<td>24.2±14</td>
<td>15.6±13†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic PWV, m/s</td>
<td>8.6±2.5</td>
<td>8.4±2.4</td>
<td>9.3±2.4</td>
<td>8.1±2.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>127.3±17.1</td>
<td>127.1±17.0</td>
<td>128.8±17.5</td>
<td>133.3±16.6§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>73.9±11.2</td>
<td>74.0±11.3</td>
<td>71.5±9.6</td>
<td>77.2±12.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>25.8±5.5</td>
<td>25.6±5.5</td>
<td>26.6±5.4</td>
<td>27.0±4.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smokers, %</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex smokers, %</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers, %</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking years</td>
<td>4.3±9.7</td>
<td>3.5±8.3*</td>
<td>7.3±13.3*</td>
<td>5.1±10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± standard deviation. FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; AIx, augmentation index; PWV, pulse wave velocity; BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index. *Monozygotic vs dizygotic p<0.05; †Monozygotic vs dizygotic p<0.005; ‡Male vs female p<0.05; §Male vs female p<0.001; ‡Hungarian vs American p=0.052; § Hungarian vs American p<0.001; ‡Hungarian vs American p<0.05.
Table 7. Clinical characteristics and measures of smoking and secondhand smoke characteristics study according to zygosity, gender and nationality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Monozygotic</th>
<th>Dizygotic</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Hungarian</th>
<th>American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects, n</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>124*</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monozygotic:dizygotic, n</td>
<td>302:124</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>72:37</td>
<td>230:85</td>
<td>208:114</td>
<td>94:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>43.8±16.5</td>
<td>42.7±16.9†</td>
<td>46.4±15.3†</td>
<td>43.0±16.8</td>
<td>44.2±16.3</td>
<td>43.0±16.1‖</td>
<td>46.3±17.2‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>127.6±16.8</td>
<td>127.3±16.8</td>
<td>128.2±17.0</td>
<td>132.0±15.3‡</td>
<td>126.1±17.2‡</td>
<td>128.0±16.9</td>
<td>126.3±16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>74.4±11.1</td>
<td>74.2±11.3</td>
<td>74.7±10.5</td>
<td>76.8±11.7§</td>
<td>73.6±10.8§</td>
<td>75.3±11.4†</td>
<td>71.5±9.5§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.0±5.4</td>
<td>25.8±5.5</td>
<td>26.5±5.2</td>
<td>26.9±4.4§</td>
<td>25.7±5.7§</td>
<td>25.6±5.1‖</td>
<td>27.4±6.2‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>287 (69.2)</td>
<td>207 (70.4)</td>
<td>80 (66.1)</td>
<td>73 (68.2)</td>
<td>212 (69.3)</td>
<td>219 (69.1)</td>
<td>65 (68.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>68 (16.4)</td>
<td>47 (16.0)</td>
<td>21 (17.4)</td>
<td>16 (15.0)</td>
<td>52 (17.0)</td>
<td>42 (13.2)</td>
<td>26 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers, n (%)</td>
<td>60 (14.6)</td>
<td>40 (13.6)</td>
<td>20 (16.5)</td>
<td>18 (16.8)</td>
<td>42 (13.7)</td>
<td>56 (17.7)</td>
<td>4 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation or n (%) where appropriate. *including 40 opposite-sex dizygotic pairs

BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index

†Monozygotic vs dizygotic p<0.05; †Male vs female p<0.005; ††Male vs female p<0.05; †Hungarian vs American p=0.076; ††Hungarian vs American p<0.005
4.2.2. Smoking habits and secondhand smoke characteristics study

70.8% of the involved twins were monozygotic, 29.2% were dizygotic (Table 7). Females comprised 73.9% of the study population. Twins were comparable with respect to smoking habits regardless of zygosity, gender and country. Dizygotic twins were significantly older than MZ twins (p<0.05). A significant gender difference was observed for systolic and diastolic blood pressures and BMI (p<0.005 and p<0.05).

4.3. Results of the lung function twin study

4.3.1. Heritability analysis of pulmonary function and PWV, Alx

Age-, sex-, country- and smoking year-adjusted genetic and environmental variance, estimated with ACE analysis, and demonstrated 95% confidence intervals (CI), are shown in Table 8. Accordingly, genetic factors appear to contribute, at least in part, to the pulmonary function and arterial stiffness parameters, as the MZ twins had higher intrapair correlation compared to that of DZ twins. Models had a good fit except for aortic PWV (Table 8.).
Table 8. Age, gender, country and smoking-year adjusted genetic and environmental variance component parameter estimates and 95% confidence intervals of the Best-Fitting Univariate ACE models with p value of Model fit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Model fit (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>0.45 (0.00-0.66)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.00-0.56)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.31-0.52)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, % predicted</td>
<td>0.28 (0.00-0.67)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.00-0.59)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.27-0.55)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, l</td>
<td>0.68 (0.20-0.81)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.00-0.55)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.17-0.32)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, l</td>
<td>0.73 (0.45-0.85)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00-0.55)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.17-0.37)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic Alx, %</td>
<td>0.58 (0.10-0.75)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.00-0.57)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.24-0.44)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial Alx, %</td>
<td>0.55 (0.08-0.74)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.00-0.57)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.24-0.45)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic PWV, m/s</td>
<td>0.50 (0.25-0.68)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00-0.00)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.33-0.73)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A indicates heritability; C, shared environmental variance component; E, unique environmental variance component; Model fit, p value of Chi-square test of Model fit; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; Alx, augmentation index; PWV, pulse wave velocity.
4.3.2. Phenotypic correlation between pulmonary function and PWV, AIx

*Table 9* presents phenotypic twin correlations, the correlations between phenotypes within the same individuals, for FEV$_1$, FVC, hemodynamic measures considering age, family, sex and country as covariates with or without smoking adjustment. Phenotypic correlation ranged between -0.12 and -0.17 (p<0.05) between measured pulmonary function values and both brachial and aortic augmentation indices, suggesting that better measured lung function corresponds to lower AIx values. Additionally, FVC and FEV$_1$ values showed no significant phenotypic correlations with aortic PWV. The estimated ACE model confirmed the role of genetic factors on lung function, arterial stiffness (PWV) and wave reflection (AIx). In addition, significant low phenotypic correlations were estimated between some pulmonary function measures and augmentation index. Accordingly, a possible genetic covariance of FEV$_1$, FVC and AIx was estimated by bivariate Cholesky decomposition model. Since measured and percent predicted FVC and FEV$_1$ values showed no significant phenotypic correlation with aortic PWV, the influence of common genetic and environmental factors on those relationships was not investigated.
**Table 9.** Bivariate family, age, sex, population corrected phenotypic correlations and 95% confidence intervals with or without smoking adjustment from a bivariate structural equation saturated model of a genetic covariance decomposition model between lung function, wave reflection and arterial stiffness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Brachial Aix</th>
<th>Central AIX</th>
<th>Aortic PWV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non smoking adjusted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.079, 0.156)</td>
<td>(-0.081, 0.155)</td>
<td>(-0.145, 0.085)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, % predicted</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.107, 0.129)</td>
<td>(-0.109, 0.128)</td>
<td>(-0.172, 0.061)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>-0.147*</td>
<td>-0.150*</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.267, -0.027)</td>
<td>(-0.271, -0.030)</td>
<td>(-0.120, 0.119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>-0.162*</td>
<td>-0.166*</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.281, -0.043)</td>
<td>(-0.285, -0.046)</td>
<td>(-0.128, 0.115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking adjusted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % predicted</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.055, 0.181)</td>
<td>(-0.056, 0.180)</td>
<td>(-0.134, 0.099)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, % predicted</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.078, 0.159)</td>
<td>(-0.080, 0.157)</td>
<td>(-0.160, 0.077)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.243, 0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.246, -0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.108, 0.133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>-0.133*</td>
<td>-0.126*</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.254, -0.012)</td>
<td>(-0.246, -0.006)</td>
<td>(-0.114, 0.131)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIX, augmentation index; PWV, pulse wave velocity; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in one second

* p<0.05
4.3.3. Genetic covariance of $\text{FEV}_1$, FVC and augmentation indices

Standardized genetic, common and unique environmental components of the covariance were calculated in the investigated measures by the bivariate Cholesky decomposition model. Additive genetic components showed no significant influence for the covariance between lung function values and augmentation indices (therefore, data are not shown).
4.4. Smoking and secondhand smoke characteristics of twins

4.4.1. Comparison of smoking habits, smoking characteristics, secondhand smoke exposure, and local home, car and workplace smoking regulations of monozygotic and dizygotic twins

As we demonstrated high levels of indoor air pollution in Hungarian public venues and similar findings were reported from the United States before the implementation of anti-tobacco policy (Tárnoki et al. 2009, Tárnoki et al. 2010, Travers et al. 2003), we investigated their effects on MZ and DZ twins. As shown in Table 10, MZ twins reported higher rate of everyday and regular smoking during for the duration of at least one year (p<0.05). MZ twins started smoking 1.8 years earlier compared to dizygotic twins (17.7±4.1 versus 19.5±5.1 years), however, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.08). Dizygotic twins smoked non-significantly higher number of cigarettes for a significantly longer duration (p<0.01). Dizygotic twins suffered from higher amount of regular parental smoking exposure during childhood in their flats (p<0.05) compared to MZ twins. No difference was observed in the disturbing effect of secondhand smoke and in the daily secondhand smoke exposure at home, workplace or other areas independently of individual smoking status between MZ and DZ twins. Interestingly, significant difference was detected in smoking regulations both at home and workplaces between MZ and DZ twins (p=<0.005). More restricted smoking zones (rooms) were reported by MZ twins. The presence of building smoking regulation and SHS exposure in living space and cars did not differ across zygosity.
4.4.2. Secondhand smoke exposure in local bars and pubs, restaurants, cafés and public transportation venues of monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs

As shown in Table 11, no significant differences were reported in the prevalence of smoking regulations in local bars and pubs, restaurants and cafés and public transportation venues regarding zygosity. The frequency of visits at these venues was not different across zygosity except local transportation venues (p<0.05). Monozygotic twins spent significantly more time occasionally in bars and pubs than DZ twins (p<0.05) which was not present in additional investigated venues. Subjects were requested to report the average self-experienced smoke pollution in various indoor venues on a scale between 1-7 where number one indicated clear and number seven very smoky indoor characteristics. Monozygotic twins reported significantly less smoke pollution in both local bars/pubs and restaurants/cafés (p<0.01). This difference was not present regarding the public transportation venues. Finally, no significant difference was observed in smoking prevalence at these venues across zygosity.
Table 10. Comparison of smoking habits, smoking characteristics, secondhand smoke exposure, and local home, car and workplace smoking regulations of monozygotic and dizygotic twins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>Monozygotic</th>
<th>Dizygotic</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of everyday smoking during at least one year</td>
<td>62 (20.5)</td>
<td>59 (20.1)</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of regular smoking for at least one year</td>
<td>39 (32.2)</td>
<td>38 (31.7)</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at start smoking, year</td>
<td>17.7±4.1</td>
<td>19.5±5.1</td>
<td>.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of monthly smoked cigarettes</td>
<td>49.5±83.1</td>
<td>81.4±140.0</td>
<td>.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking years</td>
<td>11.5±9.9</td>
<td>18.6±12.2</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular parental smoking around the twins during childhood in the flat</td>
<td>98 (34.3)</td>
<td>55 (46.2)</td>
<td>.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbing effect of secondhand smoke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very much</td>
<td>148 (56.7)</td>
<td>64 (54.2)</td>
<td>.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>somewhat</td>
<td>60 (19.9)</td>
<td>27 (22.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a bit</td>
<td>33 (12.6)</td>
<td>15 (12.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>20 (7.7)</td>
<td>12 (10.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independently of your smoking status, how many hours do you spend in secondhand smoke daily, hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>home</td>
<td>0.6±2.6</td>
<td>0.5±1.5</td>
<td>.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workplace</td>
<td>0.5±1.9</td>
<td>0.4±1.4</td>
<td>.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>0.5±2.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.9</td>
<td>.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 10. (cont.)</td>
<td>Zygosity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monozygotic</td>
<td>Dizygotic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking regulations at home</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is not allowed at any rooms</td>
<td>235 (84.8)</td>
<td>79 (67.5)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in certain rooms or sometimes</td>
<td>30 (10.8)</td>
<td>29 (24.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed anywhere at home</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>3 (2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no regulation exists concerning home smoking</td>
<td>10 (3.6)</td>
<td>6 (5.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of any building regulation which prohibits smoking inside home, eg. living room, bedroom</td>
<td>98 (35.0)</td>
<td>42 (36.2)</td>
<td>.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of the presence of smoke in living space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily</td>
<td>25 (9.6)</td>
<td>17 (15.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>several times weekly</td>
<td>19 (7.3)</td>
<td>4 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weekly</td>
<td>16 (6.1)</td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less than weekly</td>
<td>201 (77.0)</td>
<td>88 (78.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many hours have you spent in the last 7 days in a room where another person smoked? hours</td>
<td>3.1±15.2</td>
<td>2.1±7.3</td>
<td>.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apart from you, does someone smoke in your home?</td>
<td>31 (11.5)</td>
<td>21 (18.1)</td>
<td>.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of days of smoking flat weekly, days</td>
<td>4.3±3.3</td>
<td>3.3±3.0</td>
<td>.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zygosity</td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monozygotic</td>
<td>Dizygotic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habits in personal car</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is not allowed in the car</td>
<td>192 (69.0)</td>
<td>67 (57.8)</td>
<td>.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in certain cars or sometimes</td>
<td>16 (5.8)</td>
<td>14 (12.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in the car</td>
<td>8 (2.9)</td>
<td>6 (5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have no car</td>
<td>62 (22.3)</td>
<td>29 (25.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking regulations at workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is not allowed at the entire area of workplace</td>
<td>142 (57.7)</td>
<td>44 (42.3)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in certain rooms</td>
<td>96 (39.0)</td>
<td>53 (51.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed anywhere at the workplace</td>
<td>8 (3.3)</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you smoked in the inner area of your workplace in the last six months?</td>
<td>9 (4.5)</td>
<td>3 (3.9)</td>
<td>.835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation or n (%).
Table 11. Secondhand smoke exposure characteristics of monozygotic and dizygotic twins in local bars and pubs, in local restaurants and cafés, and in local public transportation venues in 2009 and 2010. Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation or n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monozyotic</td>
<td>Dizygotic</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of smoking regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is not allowed at any places</td>
<td>14 (9.2)</td>
<td>5 (5.7)</td>
<td>.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in certain rooms or areas</td>
<td>79 (51.6)</td>
<td>60 (68.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in any rooms or areas</td>
<td>32 (20.9)</td>
<td>14 (15.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no regulation or ban</td>
<td>28 (18.3)</td>
<td>9 (10.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit in a local bar or pub in the past six months</td>
<td>123 (45.4)</td>
<td>43 (38.1)</td>
<td>.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of visits in local bars or pubs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>several times weekly</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weekly</td>
<td>27 (10.5)</td>
<td>9 (8.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in every two or three weeks</td>
<td>33 (12.8)</td>
<td>5 (4.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>25 (9.7)</td>
<td>18 (16.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in every two to eleven months</td>
<td>37 (14.4)</td>
<td>17 (15.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yearly</td>
<td>27 (10.5)</td>
<td>10 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>never</td>
<td>102 (39.7)</td>
<td>50 (44.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent occasionally, minutes</td>
<td>96.8±99.5</td>
<td>70.0±82.4</td>
<td>.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average self-reported smoke pollution on a 1-7 scale (1: not smoky, 7: very smoky)</td>
<td>4.1±2.1</td>
<td>4.8±1.7</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At your last visit, have you smoked?</td>
<td>23 (13.8)</td>
<td>15 (14.7)</td>
<td>.832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11. (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence of smoking regulations</th>
<th>Monozygotic</th>
<th>Dizygotic</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>smoking is not allowed at any places</td>
<td>27 (15.8)</td>
<td>9 (9.3)</td>
<td>.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in certain rooms or areas</td>
<td>113 (66.1)</td>
<td>70 (72.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoking is allowed in any rooms or areas</td>
<td>4 (2.3)</td>
<td>5 (5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no regulation or ban</td>
<td>7 (4.1)</td>
<td>5 (5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>each restaurant or café has its own regulation</td>
<td>20 (11.7)</td>
<td>8 (8.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit in a local restaurants or cafés in the past six months</td>
<td>167 (62.3)</td>
<td>68 (60.2)</td>
<td>.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of visits in local restaurants or cafés</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>several times weekly</td>
<td>17 (6.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weekly</td>
<td>23 (9.2)</td>
<td>8 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in every two or three weeks</td>
<td>22 (8.8)</td>
<td>14 (12.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>46 (18.3)</td>
<td>21 (19.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in every two to eleven months</td>
<td>62 (24.7)</td>
<td>24 (21.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yearly</td>
<td>39 (15.5)</td>
<td>21 (19.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>never</td>
<td>41 (16.3)</td>
<td>19 (17.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent occasionally, minutes</td>
<td>86.7±66.8</td>
<td>83.6±59.7</td>
<td>.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average self-reported smoke pollution on a 1-7 scale (1: not smoky, 7:</td>
<td>2.5±1.7</td>
<td>3.1±1.5</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoky)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At your last visit, have you smoked?</td>
<td>13 (7.6)</td>
<td>9 (8.7)</td>
<td>.767</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11. (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence of smoking regulations</th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking is not allowed</td>
<td>Monozygotic</td>
<td>Dizygotic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking is allowed in certain areas of the venues</td>
<td>166 (91.2)</td>
<td>96 (91.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking is allowed anywhere</td>
<td>11 (6.0)</td>
<td>5 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No regulation or ban</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
<td>3 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Have you used the local transportation venues in the past six months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of use of public transportation venues</th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>74 (31.5)</td>
<td>46 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several times weekly</td>
<td>31 (13.2)</td>
<td>9 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>12 (5.1)</td>
<td>9 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In every two or three weeks</td>
<td>8 (3.4)</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>20 (8.5)</td>
<td>13 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In every two to eleven months</td>
<td>25 (10.6)</td>
<td>11 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly</td>
<td>21 (8.9)</td>
<td>7 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>44 (18.7)</td>
<td>11 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time spent occasionally, minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average self-reported smoke pollution on a 1-7 scale (1: not smoky, 7: very smoky)</th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.8±48.5</td>
<td>47.1±49.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At your last visit, have you smoked?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation or n (%)</th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At your last visit, have you smoked?</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation or n (%).
5. Discussion

Our first goal was to establish the Hungarian twin registry before starting the respiratory twin studies. Over 300 twin pairs joined the registry on a voluntary basis and further twin research projects are in progress and are expected in the future.

We aimed to determinate the influence of genetic and environmental factors on pulmonary function. It is known that if genetic determination dominates in the background of a certain phenotype, development or progression of a certain trait could be avoided or postponed by preventive screening. However, if unique environmental factors determinate a phenotype, prevention (e.g., lifestyle intervention) should be highlighted. In addition, we aimed to investigate the relationship of pulmonary function and arterial stiffness, in order to estimate whether genetic or environmental factors are responsible for a possible correlation. Although there is an increasing evidence that impaired lung function is associated with higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in some respiratory illnesses, no study exists which investigated their possible genetic background in a healthy cohort. Our results showed that lung function is strongly heritable. In addition, measured FVC and FEV$_1$ is phenotypically, but not genetically, associated with augmentation index, a measure of wave reflection. Neither phenotypic nor genetic correlation was observed with arterial stiffness characterized by aortic PWV.

Finally, we investigated the smoking and secondhand smoke exposure characteristics of monozygotic and dizygotic twins. Significant differences were observed between monozygotic and dizygotic twins in smoking duration, parental SHS exposure in childhood, and assessment of smoking restrictions of certain public places. These findings emphasize the potential role of different psychosocial family determination of these phenotypes across zygosity. Accordingly, our findings provided additional evidence to the importance of preventive parental care in twin families exposed to smoking.
5.1. Research projects of the Hungarian Twin Registry

Since the revived efforts in 2007, multiple studies have been published. A study led by György Jermendy and János Osztovits involved 101 twin pairs, and investigated the genetic effects on the risk factors of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular autonomic function (Osztovits et al. 2011; Jermendy et al. 2011/a; Jermendy et al. 2011/b; Jermendy et al. 2011/c). Additional twin studies focused on sensitivity to weather changes (Tarnoki et al. 2007) and venous biomechanics (Molnár et al. 2013).

In addition to the national studies, the registry already engaged in multiple international collaborations mainly with the Italian Twin Registry (Fagnani et al. 2006) such as the International twin study (involving 160 Hungarian, 180 Italian and 50 American twin pairs) whose findings are reported in this thesis as well. The study involved over 20 comprehensive, mainly anthropometric, cardiovascular, respiratory and ophthalmologic measurements and the results were presented at various international meetings and yielded some awards. The respiratory findings are partly reported in this PhD thesis.

In 2012 data was collected on ideology and political participation to supplement a multi-country meta-analysis of political attitudes. We are planning additional studies on obesity-discordant MZ twins with the collaboration of Kirsi Pietiläinen (Finnish Twin Registry) (Kaprio 2006). In addition, social, psychological, further cardiovascular and respiratory twin studies are in progress.

At this point in time, the management of the increased interest in twin studies is challenging. It is especially important that twins are not bothered too often with study requests. In the short run we are increasing the size of the volunteer registry and continuing the work that started in 2007 with international collaborations. In the long run we are hoping for the establishment of a population based twin registry either through the utilization of the 1970-1980’s Budapest multiple birth records cited above or using population databases available to the government which contain birthname, birthplace, birthday and mother’s maiden name. Matching on all these records could yield a list of highly probable twin individuals. Hungary’s strict privacy laws and the quickly changing legal structures (eg. recently changed constitution, uncertainty concerning existing case law, rewriting of many laws, all done in the past few years)
make both of these efforts difficult. Health records and family relation information have, in the past, been classified as especially sensitive by law and case law. Hopefully the uncertainty associated with the legal changes will be alleviated as time passes or, if needed, new regulations will make the expansion of a population based twin registry possible.

5.2. Consequences of findings of lung function study

The establishment of the Hungarian Twin Registry made possible that Hungarian twins could be recruited to the respiratory study beyond the American twins. To our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates the relative contribution of genetic and environmental factors to the relation between lung function and hemodynamic parameters including arterial stiffness in a twin sample. This study demonstrates that the heritability of lung function is high for observed absolute values and moderate for percent predicted values, and a significant negative low phenotypic correlation exists between measured FEV$_1$, FVC and augmentation index. No significant phenotypic correlation and genetic covariance was estimated between lung function parameters and arterial stiffness, characterized by aortic PWV.

Our findings concerning the heritability of lung function and investigated hemodynamic parameters are in line with the literature in magnitude. However, various study populations with different epidemiological backgrounds and distinct methods were applied in these investigations in contrast to our study (Ingebrigtsen et al. 2011; Hubert et al. 1982; McClearn et al. 1994; Snieder et al. 2000; Ge et al. 2007; Cecelja et al. 2009; Brunner et al. 2011; Maclay et al. 2007; Maclay et al. 2009). In our population-based sample of twins, genetic effects accounted for 28-73% of the variability of lung function. Of note, two twin studies showed that additive genetic effects on FEV$_1$ accounted for 61%, and 67% of the total (Ingebrigtsen et al. 2011; McClearn et al. 1994). The observed high heritability of lung function has an importance in early screening for individuals with a familial risk for developing impaired lung function. This finding might serve as a possible explanation why a large distinction can be observed in some individuals in point of response to smoking.
Namely, a heavy smoker may not develop COPD while a never smoker might suffer from this disease without any risk factors. Therefore, our twin study provides valuable information how much of the observed variation in observed and percent predictive (according to age and anthropometric characteristics of the subject) spirometric measures is caused by differences between subjects on a genetic level. Since unique environment has a moderate influence on the development of spirometry measures, prevention of known environmental risk factors (eg., smoking, allergens) is warranted in high-risk individuals.

The univariate ACE-models of arterial stiffness and wave reflection variables had to be calculated on this sample in order to investigate the phenotypic/genotypic correlations with lung function. The genetic variance accounted for 50-58% for the brachial Alx, aortic Alx and PWV, similarly to other studies with reported heritabilities of 37-53% (Snieder et al. 2000; Ge et al. 2007; Cecelja et al. 2009; Tarnoki et al. 2012). Of note, aortic PWV showed a significant p value of model fit which casts some doubts on the univariate ACE model finding of PWV.

Significant negative phenotypic correlation was found between FEV$_1$, FVC and Alx (but not with aortic PWV) which indicates that impaired lung function is associated with increased pulse wave reflection, but not with aortic stiffness characterized by aortic PWV. The association of cardiovascular and respiratory system has been previously hypothesized in respiratory diseases because increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality was observed in patients with impaired lung function (Higgins and Keller 1970; Tockman et al. 1995; Brunner et al. 2011). However, novel hemodynamic measurements such as oscillometric arterial stiffness assessment, which are applied in our study, had not been previously performed in a setting of healthy subjects. Our study showed that there is a phenotypic but no genetic relationship between lung function and wave reflection in a healthy population. As known, Alx is an accepted measure of wave reflection and it is closely correlated with cardiovascular risk. In addition, Alx is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with end-stage renal disease (Baulmann et al. 2004).

Although we found no association between lung function and arterial stiffness in a healthy cohort, previous studies found a relationship between arterial stiffness and respiratory diseases in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and
bronchial asthma (Maclay et al. 2007; Maclay et al. 2009; Janner et al. 2012; Nevzorova et al. 2010; Dransfield et al. 2010; Malerba and Romanelli 2009; Arunachalam et al. 2010; McAllister et al. 2007, Weiler et al. 2010). In particular, COPD and emphysema patients are at increased risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, associated with endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffness and atherogenesis, independently of tobacco smoke exposure (Maclay et al. 2007; Maclay et al. 2009; Janner et al. 2012; Nevzorova et al. 2010; Dransfield et al. 2010). The key elements causing an early subclinical cardiovascular involvement in COPD patients include systemic and abnormal lung inflammation, hypoxia, oxidative stress, alterations in levels of matrix metalloproteinases and the functionality of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (Malerba and Romanelli 2009; Arunachalam et al. 2010). Emphysema severity is associated with arterial stiffness in COPD patients, which may be attributable to similar pathophysiological processes within the lung and arteries (McAllister et al. 2007). Significant correlations between arterial stiffness and FEV$_1$ were reported in asthmatic patients as well, suggesting the presence of a common systemic process, most likely an inflammatory pathway, involving both the cardiovascular and respiratory systems (Weiler et al. 2010). In our opinion, the absence of these phenomena (eg., systemic inflammation, hypoxia, oxidative stress) could explain why we could not find a genetic relationship between lung function and arterial stiffness in our healthy cohort.

Based on our results, the same non-genetic factors may play a role in lung function and wave reflection in healthy individuals (Engström et al. 2002; Marcus et al. 1989; Zureik et al. 2001) and further studies are required to certify and understand this mechanism. Due to the possible non-genetic (although weak) link between impaired lung function and augmentation index, as a possible clinical consequence of our study, early screening of augmentation index in patients with reduced lung function may be warranted. Similarly, a recent prospective study reported that lung function assessment in mid-life may identify individuals at greater risk of future cardiovascular disease (Bolton et al. 2009). Augmentation index could be non-invasively assessed at an early age in healthy subjects with impaired lung function who are still free of respiratory illnesses, and conversely, pulmonary function could be assessed in subjects with increased augmentation indices or atherosclerotic phenotypes if further studies confirm our results.
Our cohort consisted of healthy middle-aged individuals who may present increased AIx but normal aortic PWV, which one is mainly accelerated in advanced atherosclerosis in older age (Kelly et al. 1989). Of note, the mean aortic PWV value was not elevated in our sample. AIx depends on various conditions (heart rate, arterial wall stiffness, individual anatomical characteristics /branchings/, geometrical and elastic taper of the aortic wall, anthropometric parameters /eg. height/, endothelial function, actual wave reflections, blood pressure, body position, etc.). Accordingly, further investigations are necessary to detect the biomechanical and physiological background of the observed phenotypic relationship between lung function and augmentation index. Finally, it must be noted that the statistical test (genetic covariance composition) traditionally calls for a large sampling in comparison to our sample size. This could be the reason why our results concerning the covariance analysis are not statistically significant.

Hungarian and American twins were not recruited from a population-based twin registry, thus volunteering twins were mainly monozygotic twins tend to volunteer more than dizygotic twins. Female predominance was observed in our study. Females are more interested in health-related research and willing to attend a twin festival. The predominance of monozygotic female twins has been reported in previous studies (Lund et al. 2007). Furthermore, more women work part-time which encourages their volunteer work (such as participation in research studies) (Taniguchi 2006). The 1995 Midlife in the United States psychological research found that women are rather motivated and predict helping others, and are more likely to help family and friends (Einolf 2011). However, gender was always taken into account in multivariate analyses and in twin models.

The strength of this study is underpinned by the evaluation of all the lung function and arterial stiffness tests that were performed by the same trained researchers, with the same devices, on the same day. However, as in every human clinical study, there are unavoidable limitations. Data were pooled across countries to raise the power to identify genetic and environmental effects but no evidence of heterogeneity between states was detected.
5.3. Discussion of smoking habits and secondhand smoke characteristics of twins

To our knowledge, this is the first study which investigates the secondhand smoke exposure of monozygotic and dizygotic twins. In addition, smoking habits, smoking characteristics, local home, car and workplace smoking regulations were also investigated searching for difference between MZ and DZ twins. Higher rate of everyday and regular smoking for at least one year was estimated in MZ twins. Furthermore, MZ twins started cigarette smoking at an earlier age and they reported more restricted smoking areas at home and workplaces compared to DZ twins. Additionally, DZ twins smoked more for a significantly longer duration and suffered from more regular parental smoking exposure in childhood in the flat compared to MZ twins. No difference was observed in the disturbing effect of secondhand smoke and the daily secondhand smoke exposure at home, workplace or other areas across zygosity. Monozygotic twins spent significantly more time in bars and pubs occasionally and reported significantly less smoke pollution in both local bars/pubs and restaurants/cafés compared to dizygotic twins.

Our study demonstrated a higher rate of every day and regular smoking for at least one year and a non-significant earlier age at first cigarette smoking in MZ twins. Among others, psychosocial factor may be a possible underlying reason. Of note, current daily smoking is associated with major depression especially in individuals with a familial vulnerability for major depression or religiosity (Lyons et al. 2008; Kendler et al. 1997). Monozygotic twins are more reliable to depression (Wierzbicki 1989). Accordingly, their coping is lower, which increases the long-term risk for dependence on alcoholism and nicotine (Kendler et al. 1997). Therefore, our finding that MZ twins spent more time in bars and pubs occasionally, can be related to this underlying reason. Since genetic factors play a moderate role in smoking initiation and social factors influence mainly its development (hence the previously listed reasons), prevention is substantial in susceptible families having twins (Boomsma et al. 1994). Furthermore, MZ twins reported less smoke pollution in local bars and pubs in addition to restaurants and cafés compared to dizygotic twins. Although this phenomenon is difficult to be explained, but these characteristics may be attributable more likely to environmental
than their genetic factors, taking into account that activity, attention, and impulsivity are not heritable traits (Hiser et al. 2006).

The possible explanation, why dizygotic twins smoke longer in time, may be the heredity of smoking withdrawal as reported by Carmelli and co-workers (Carmelli et al. 1992). Most likely monozygotic twins are reliable to quit together due to their common genetic background and mutual emotions, while DZ twins less likely assert each other in smoking cessation.

DZ twins suffered significantly higher regular parental smoking exposure in childhood in their flat according to their self-experience. This finding can be possibly explained by psychological factors. According to my suggestion, parents are more careful with identical twins, usually considered to be a „mystic” twin type. Thus parents may refrain from smoking more likely in the environment of MZ twins than DZ twins. Our study was not established to investigate these psychological factors; therefore, other studies should confirm the underlying reasons. A Canadian study underlined that high familial adversity suffered in early life of twins (including presence of risk factors during perinatal and postnatal development: maternal smoking during pregnancy, low birth weight, low family income, low maternal educational level, single parenthood, young motherhood, and maternal hostile or reactive behaviors) may have a sustaining effect on stress related diseases via cortisol reactivity (Ouellet-Morin et al. 2008). Maternal “negativity” is considered as one of the important individual environmental source of juvenile depression (Pike et al. 1996). It is important to note that parental smoking is also a risk factor of sudden infant death syndrome beyond twinship, on which the attention of parents must be drawn by competent professionals, public health nurses (l’Hoir et al. 1988).

Our other purpose was to investigate the local home, car and workplace smoking regulations of MZ and DZ twins. According to the Hungarian law which was in effect during the study years 2009 and 2010, cigarette smoking was banned in government buildings, private worksites, educational and health care facilities, on buses and in taxis. However, smoking was permitted, but restricted to designated smoking areas in restaurants, bars, and nightclubs and on trains and ferries. Therefore, the study of secondhand smoke exposure at these facilities was available and eligible. The American regulations were stricter in the study years. The possible influence of diverse regulations
in the two countries must be noted by the discussion of the analysis concerning the opinion of twins on smoking regulations. However we believe this effect may be negligible, because of the relatively low American sample size compared to the Hungarian one and the low smoking rate of American twins.

Interestingly, even if the daily secondhand smoke exposure at home, workplace or other areas of the twins was similar regardless of zygosity, monozygotic twins reported significantly higher more restricted smoking areas at home and in workplaces compared to dizygotics. Therefore, the results suggest that MZ twins are more reliable to live in a home or work at a workplace where stricter smoking regulation exists. The present study was not designed to provide a „mechanistic” insight into this relationship. Further studies should confirm the underlying reason.

First and last, the attention of parents of MZ twins must drawn to early prevention of subsequent susceptibility to smoking and smoking initiation, especially among individuals living in families in low socioeconomic status. Self-experienced smoking cessation of DZ twins is more difficult compared to MZ twins. Especially the parents of DZ twins must be informed about the short- and long-term hazards of smoking and SHS exposure in the environment of twins. MZ twins are less sensitive to SHS exposure of indoor public venues, thus adverse effects related to SHS exposure can effect them more frequently. Further studies are needed to investigate these findings.

The strength of the present study is that all questionnaires were filled in the spot (by decreasing twin-to-twin interactions) and performed by the same researchers. Limitation is that the results were gathered in two different countries and data were pooled across countries with differing genetic composition and different smoking exposures and cultures to reach appropriate power to draw consequences. However, significant evidence of heterogeneity was not detected in smoking habits between countries.
6. Conclusions

6.1. The first Hungarian Twin Registry was established in Budapest in 1970 through the mandatory reporting of multiple-births. In the 1980s a second, volunteer adult registry was also founded. Unfortunately, both registries ceased to exist in the 1990s. Efforts started in 2006 to revive a Hungarian twin registry. Currently, the voluntary Hungarian Twin Registry consists of 310 twin pairs and multiplets. Current research focuses (among others) on cardiovascular and respiratory health and yielded multiple awards and publications. Efforts are on the way to expand into social, psychological, obesity and further respiratory studies.

6.2. Lung function is strongly heritable. Measured FVC and FEV<sub>1</sub> is phenotypically, but not genetically, associated with augmentation index. No association between lung function and aortic PWV was found. The observed relationship can aid to understand the background of vascular changes in different airway diseases.

6.3. Monozygotic twins start smoking earlier compared to dizygotic twins. Dizygotic twins smoke longer and suffer more parental smoke exposure in childhood. Monozygotic twins experience stricter smoking restrictions at home and in workplaces, but less smoke exposure in indoor public places. More monozygotic twins are ex or active smokers than dizygotics. Lesser difference exists in self-reported smoke exposure rate in monozygotic compared to dizygotic pairs concerning restaurants and cafés which is not present regarding bars, pubs and transportation facilities. Different psychological family orientation may be present across zygosity. Preventive parental care is warranted in twins families exposed to smoking.
7. Summary

Study of twins yields a unique possibility to discover unexperienced fields in respiratory medicine in various aspects. The voluntary Hungarian Twin Registry was established in 2006 and it consists of 310 twin pairs and multiplets currently. Multiple researches were carried out, such as respiratory ones.

We aimed to investigate the heritability of lung function and its relationship with a novel cardiovascular phenotype, namely, the arterial stiffness. Our hypothesis was that there is a common genetic background between lung function and arterial stiffness in a healthy sample. Our study was the first international twin study to investigate the possible genetic relationship between lung function and arterial stiffness. Our data suggest a phenotypic but not genetic link between lung function and augmentation index. No association was found between respiratory function and arterial stiffness characterized by aortic PWV. Improved understanding of the factors associated with increased cardiovascular risk (AIx) in setting of lung function impairment is needed. Our study could be a first step to find further associations of vascular changes in different airway diseases and help guide linkage studies towards better understanding of the cardiopulmonary system.

We were curious whether there is a different effect of secondhand smoking exposure across zygosity in various indoor public places. Data collection on smoking and secondhand smoke characteristics of twins was also obtained. Zygosity differences were observed in smoking habits, secondhand smoke exposure, and smoking regulations at home, in cars and workplaces. Attention of parents of MZ twins must drawn to the early prevention of subsequent susceptibility to smoking and smoking initiation, especially among individuals living in families in low socioeconomic status. Self-experienced smoking cessation of DZ twins is more difficult compared to MZ twins. Especially the parents of DZ twins must be informed about the short- and long-term hazards of smoking in the environment of twins. MZ twins are less sensitive to SHS exposure in indoor public venues, thus adverse effects related to SHS exposure can effect them more frequently. Improved understanding of findings with open questions (eg., why MZ twins spend significantly more time occasionally in bars and pubs and reported significantly less smoke pollution, why DZ twins smoke significantly longer and
suffered significantly higher regular parental smoking exposure during childhood) is warranted.
8. Összefoglaló

Ikerpárok vizsgálata egyedi lehetőséget nyújt a légzőrendszer eddig fel nem fedezett különböző tulajdonságai, eltérései hátterének vizsgálatára. Az önkéntes egyénekből álló Magyar Ikerregiszter 2006-ban alakult, jelenleg 310 felnőtt kettes és többes ikerpárt foglal magába. Számos vizsgálat jött létre eddig a regiszter révén, így a légzőrendszeri ikerkutatások is.

Munkacsoportunk a légzésfunkció örö克莱ességét vizsgálta, valamint a légzésfunkció kapcsolatát egy új kardiovaszkuláris fenotípussal, nevezetesen az artériás stiffness-szel. Hipotézisünk az volt, hogy közös genetikai kapcsolat létezhet a légzésfunkció és az artériás stiffness között egészséges egyénekben. Vizsgálatunk volt az első olyan nemzetközi ikervizsgálat, mely ezt a lehetséges genetikai kapcsolatot kereste e két paraméter között. Adataink rámutatták a légzésfunkció és az augmentációs index közötti fenotípikus (nem genotípikus) kapcsolatra. Nem találtunk azonban kapcsolatot a légzésfunkció és az artériás stiffness (aorta PWV) között. További vizsgálatok szükségesek azon faktorok megértéséhez, melyek hozzájárulnak a romló légzésfunkció és az emelkedett kardiovaszkuláris rizikó (AIx) kapcsolatához. Vizsgálatunk lehet az első lépés ahhoz, hogy megtaláljuk a kapcsolatot a különböző légüti betegségek és az azokkal előforduló vaszkuláris eltérések között. A kardiopulmonális rendszer jobb megértéséhez a jövőben genetikai kapcsoltsági (‘linkage’) vizsgálatok szükségesek.

Kíváncsiak voltunk arra, hogy a passzív dohányzásnak eltérő hatású a különböző zigozítású ikrekre nézve az egyes belteri közhelyeken. Az ikerpárok dohányzási és passzív dohányzási szokásaival kapcsolatos információk gyűjtését tűztük ki célul. Zigozításbeli különbségeket észleltünk a dohányzási szokásokat, a passzív dohányzásnak kitett expozíciót, az ikreket otthoni, gépjárműben és munkahelyen érvényben lévő dohányzási szabályozásait illetően. Az egyetető ikerpárok szüleinek figyelmét fel kell hívnunk az ikrék nagyobb dohányzásra való rászokási hajlamára és annak korai megelőzésére, különösen az alacsony szocio-ekonomiai státuszban élő, családi viszontagságú egyének körében. A kétpetéjű ikrék nehezebb leszokattni a dohányzásról, mint az egyetető ikréket. Különösen a kétpetéjű ikrék szüleit fel kell világosítani a lakásban, az ikrék környezetében történő dohányzás rövid és hosszú távú
veszélyeire. Az egypetéjű ikrek a belteri közösségi helyek füstösségére kevésbé érzékenyek, így a passzív dohányzással összefüggésben lévő adverz hatások gyakrabban érhetik őket. A nyitott kérdések megválaszolására, illetve a háttérben álló faktorok felderítésére (például miért töltenek szignifikánsan több időt az egypetéjű ikrek bárokban és kocsmákban, illetve miért érzékenyek kevésbé a dohányfüst-expozícióra, továbbá miért dohányoznak a kétpetéjű ikrek hosszabb ideig és szenvednek el több szülői dohányfüst-expozíciót), megválaszolására további vizsgálatok szükségesek.
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