THE PERIODONTAL ASPECT OF IMPLANT THERAPY

Prof. Dr. Windisch Péter

	Periodontal aspects of implant therapy
1)	Comprehensive perioprosthodontic treatment by utilizing implants on perio-patients.
2)	Anatomical consideration on soft tissue seal between tooth and implant – the role of the biological width
3)	Development of biological width
-	Pink and white esthetics around implants – immediate implant placement – one and two stage-approach
5)	Hard tissue augmentation (GTR-GBR similarities and alterations)
-	Soft tissue augmentation
7)	Peri-mucositis, peri-implantitis
	Maintenance of periimplant tissues - regular periodontal maintenance care
	Epidemiology of Periodontal Diseases
	Untreated periodontal cases (descriptive epidemiological survey between 14-46 years old workers, a 15 years follow up study, 11% beyond gingivitis, 8% rapid progression, 81% moderate progression) <i>Löe</i> 1986
	Periodontal disease and tooth loss "Periodontitis is thought to account
	for 30-35% of all tooth extraction while caries and its sequalae for up to 50%."
	Conclusion: more than 35% of removed teeth has a perio-origin, not well-motivated adult patients up to 90% suffer in periodontitis <i>J.Lindhe: Clinical Periodontology and Implant Dentistry, Blackwell 2008</i> Treatment Planning for Implant Therapy in the Periodontally
ш	Compromised Patient
	Prognosis of implant therapy in the periodontally compromised
_	patients
	Strategies in treatment planning and prosthetic rehabilitation
	Treatment decisions
	sterior segments
	oth versus implant
_	gressive periodontitis rcation involvements
	ngle-tooth advanced attachment-loss in the esthetic zone Elimination of inflammation
	Restoring the missing teeth : lack of enough well anchored "natural
ш	abutments" (teeth they could be selected for bridgework, but do not
	perform the required primary stability), fixed prostheses is not allowed.
	Even any kind of combined prosthetic solution (bridge + partial
	removable) would damage the last abutments more rapidly

	Utilisation of implants
Ear	rly eighties: Periodontitis – No! or completely edentolous cases
Lat	ter on : For restoring "critical" abutments
	day : Even for single tooth replacement
	The role of the implants in the comprehensive rehabilitation
	•
	The updating guidelines on implant therapy during planing
	What is the primary goal: function or esthetics?
2.	
	therapy is questionable rather tooth extraction.
3.	Implant placement only after comprehensive periodontal therapy
٠.	(inflammation-free environment)
4.	Implant prosthetics:
••	1. Only implant with implant born bridge
	 More separated, and less-extensive bridge solutions
_	To give an overview of biological factors which can effect periimplant
	tissue health around implants
П	Definition of the biological width - tooth vs. Implant
	Development of the biological width - tooth vs. Implant
	influenced by the implant itself
	Biological considerations of hard- and soft tissue healing – determinants
_	of functional longevity and esthetic stability around implants – host
	tissue response to (or against?) implant therapy
	Biological width development around implants
	The peri-implant mucosal condition at different implants: two stage –
_	one stage
П	Healed mucosal conditions around the implant
	The Mucosa at Teeth and Implants
	Peri-implant Mucositis and Peri-implantitis
\Box	Biological width around teeth
_	Definition
•	Combined connective tissue- and epithelial attachment from the crest of
	the alveolar bone to the base of the gingival sulcus.
•	The biological width is patient and site specific, may vary between 0,75-
	4,3 mm including a required amount of soft tissue barrier to maintain
	underlying tissue(s) healthy.
	Basic studies
•	Basic morphology – Sicher, Orban
•	Vertical dimension - "biological width" <i>Gargiulo</i>
•	Mean measurements – <i>Vacek</i> :
1.3	22 ± 0.80mm for sulcus depth
	4 ± 0.49 mm for epithelial attachment
	77 ± 0.29 mm for connective tissue attachment
	sed on human histological samples

		Biological width around implants
		Definition
	•	A mucoseal (cuff-like) barrier
W	hich	adhers to the surface of the titanium abutment
	•	The role of this periimplant mucoseal seal
(s	car l	ike tissue?) is to protect underlying bone
	•	Based on animal studies
		Biological width around implants - Basic studies
		Soft tissue barrier: composed by
	sul	lcus with a non keratinized epithelium
	jur	nctional epithelium
	su	pracrestal connective tissue with dense circular fibres
	Cir	cular fibres run from the periosteum and the alveolar crest towards the
01	ral e _l	pithelium.
	Ва	sic morphology – <i>Berglundh</i>
		e role of junctional epithelium – <i>Abrahamson</i>
	So	ft tissue dimensions around different titanium surfaces – <i>Buser et al.</i>
		Biological width around implants
		Recent Studies 1.
		Epithelial attachment:
		basal lamina and hemidesmosomes? – <i>Ikeda</i>
		The junctional epithelium is longer adjacent to machined implant surfaces
		(a mean of 2.9 mm) than it is to acid etch-conditioned implant surfaces (a
		mean of 1.4 mm) or oxidized surfaces (a mean of 1.6 mm) – Glauser
		No diferences at submerged or non submerged implants – <i>Abrahamsson</i>
		Biological width around implants
	_	Recent Studies 2.
		The presence of a fibroblast rich layer next to the implant surface – <i>Moon</i>
	_	et al.
		Fibroblasts oriented with their long axis parallel to the implant surface
	Ш	Real connective tissue attachment depending on implant surface
	_	characteristic? – Schwarz et al.; Nevins et al.
		Biological width around teeth and implants
	Ш	Similarities
	_	Epithelial and connective tissue supracrestal
		Location
		Proportions
		Adherence
		Differences
		Lack of cementum and periodontal fibers
	•	Connective attachment to abutment surface?
	•	Less vasculatisation in connective tissue
	•	More pronounced tendency for developing periimplant infections
		Biological width development around teeth
	Ц	Biological width development around implants – at a conventional two-
		stage implant
		Clinical impact of biological width development around implants
	Ц	Implant success- and survival rate in periodontitis
		Determined by microbiological- and/or tissue environment?

	Bone loss around titanium implants (submerged healing), machined
ш	surface is not related to periodontal destruction around teeth.
П	Mean bone loss significantly (P≤0.0001) higher around teeth
	$(0.48\pm0.95\text{mm})$ than around implants $(0.09\pm0.28\text{ mm})$.
Ouiru	nen M, Peeters W, Naert I, Coucke W, van Steenberghe D: Peri-implant health
-	nd screw-shaped c.p. titanium machined implants in partially edentulous
	nts with or without ongoing periodontitis. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2001
-	16):589-94.
	Moderately rough surface (SLA). Implant survival 96,5% in healthy, vs.
	90,5% in periodontitis patients. Implant success 79.1% vs. 52.4%.
	<pre><or=5 <0.2="" annually.<="" bleeding="" bone="" loss="" mm="" mm,="" negative,="" on="" pre="" probing=""></or=5></pre>
Karoi	ussis IK, Salvi GE, Heitz-Mayfield LJ, Bragger U, Hammerle CH, Lang NP: Long-
	implant prognosis in patients with and without a history of chronic
	dontitis: a 10-year prospective cohort study of the ITI Dental Implant System.
-	Pral Implants Res. 2003 Jun;14(3):329-39.
_	(two-stage implant)
	A 0.75 mm thick inflammatory cell infiltrate and inflammatory connective
	tissue was found at the implant-abutment interface
	Thickness of inflammatory tissue 0.35 mm apically and coronally to the
	implant-abutment interface Controversary data from a human biopsy of
	one implant - Luongo et al.
	Reduced amount of inflammatory tissues may also be explained by a
	favourable prosthetic design: Horizontal offset at the implant- abutment
	interface - Luongo et al.
	,
	1 1 0
	To give an overview of biological factors which can effect periimplant
	tissue health around implants
•	Definition of the biological width - tooth vs. Implant
•	Development of the biological width - tooth vs. Implant
•	Evolution of implant concepts – development of the biological width
_	influenced by the implant itself
•	Determinants of functional longevity and esthetic stability around
	implants – host tissue response to (or against?) implant therapy
	Periimplant tissue stability and dimensional differences in three clinical
	settings Pariimplant tiggue stability and dimensional differences in three clinical
ш	Periimplant tissue stability and dimensional differences in three clinical settings
1	
1.	
2.	ridge Implant placement in compromised defect configurations
2. 3.	
J. □	
ب	Flap vs. "flapless" surgical approach
	Tup 10. Impieus surficui approuen

□ No differences concerning osseointegration when implants placed
flapless or with flap mobilization.
Becker W, Wikesjö UM, Sennerby L, Qahash M, Hujoel P, Goldstein M, Turkyilmaz I.
Histologic evaluation of implants following flapless and flapped surgery: a study in canines. J Periodontol. 2006 Oct;77(10):1717-22.
☐ Flapless implant insertion results in less inflammation and early
reepithelialization, establishing an esthetically preferable peri-implant
soft tissue collar.
Mueller CK, Thorwarth M, Schultze-Mosgau S.Histomorphometric and Whole-
Genome Expression Analysis of Peri-implant Soft Tissue Healing: A Comparison of
Flapless and Open Surgery. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2011 Jul-Aug;26(4):760-
7.
☐ Crestal bone resorption is limited when implant placed flapless.
Campelo LD, Camara JR. Flapless implant surgery: a 10-year clinical retrospective
analysis. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2002 Mar-Apr;17(2):271-6.
\square Technical difficulties during implant positioning \rightarrow navigation during
flapless surgery, computer guided technique.
Danza M, Carinci F: Flapless surgery and immediately loaded implants: A
retrospective comparison between implantation with and without computer-
assisted planned surgical stent Stomatologija, Baltic Dental and Maxillofacial
Journal, 12:35-41, 2010
☐ Technical difficulties during implant positioning
☐ 162 implants inserted via computer assisted surgery (Nobel Guide™;
Nobel Biocare AB) with immediate loading after 1 year 0.80 mm bone loss in the maxilla, and 0.85 mm in the mandible.
Komiyama A, Hultin M, Näsström K, Benchimol D, Klinge B Soft Tissue Conditions
and Marginal Bone Changes around Immediately Loaded Implants Inserted in
Edentate Jaws Following Computer Guided Treatment Planning and Flapless
Surgery: A 31-Year Clinical Follow-Up Study Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related
Research 2009
Clinical experience?!
\square A statistically better outcome when using smaller ($\emptyset \le 3$ mm) soft tissue
punch sizes, but is this of any clinical significance?
Lee DH, Choi BH, Jeong SM, Xuan F, Kim HR, Mo DY. Effects of soft tissue punch size
on the healing of peri-implant tissue in flapless implant surgery. Oral Surg Oral
Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2010 Apr;109(4):525-30.
Clinical explanation: Preserved keratinized alveolar mucosa may transform into
periimplant keratinized mucosa
☐ Controversial clinical experience: 3D positioning with guided surgery I
☐ Controversial clinical experience: 3D positioning with guided surgery II
☐ Controversial clinical experience: 3D positioning with guided surgery III
☐ Controversial clinical experience: 3D positioning with guided surgery IV
☐ The role of the keratinized gingiva (KG) in the maintenance of
periodontal tissue health
☐ An intact band of attached keratinized gingiva is critical to protect the
function of the mucogingival complex, although minimum width requirements remain controversial.
Lack of a proper amount, even less than 1mm of keratinized tissue can be
compensated by individual oral hygiene.
compensacea by marridual oral hygicile.

Increased tendency for developing local plaque accumulation or
recession.
Benefitial effects of enhancement the width of keratinized tissue.
The role of the keratinized periimplant mucosa in the maintenance of
periimplant tissue health
An experimental study in the monkey: sites with minimal or no
keratinized periimplant mucosa more prone to recession and bone loss
during plaque accumulation
Similar results from human studies. Randomised controlled studies on the
importance of keratinized periimplant mucosa are needed. Literature
data suggest an evidently greater risk for periimplant tissue pathology.
Conclusion of a literature review: The role of keratinized periimplant
mucosa seems to be implant and surface dependent